

3200

**Rosi, Valorie**

---

**From:** Lynda Like <lynda6818@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 16, 2018 1:58 PM  
**To:** Spotts, Jeffrey M  
**Subject:** HOPS PERMIT

**RECEIVED  
IRRC**

**2018 APR 20 P 3:23**

Jeffrey M. Spotts, Regulatory Counsel  
Pennsylvania Department of Transportation  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

**RE: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Department of Transportation  
Regulation #18-479: Access to and Occupancy of Highways by Driveways and Local Roads**

Dear Mr. Jeffrey M. Spotts:

Below are public comments I am submitting in regard to the aforementioned item that is being promulgated as a regulation.

I write today to express my wholehearted support for the comment submitted by my State Representative Brett Miller.

I feel Representative Miller captured the essence of the issue and eloquently outlined the position of his constituent property owners. Anything I could write would be redundant and likely less eloquent, nonetheless I want to be sure to express my support.

Thank you IRRC and JCD for your time and your consideration of the confusing regulation which left some property owners bewildered as to their rights under the law.

Sincerely,  
Lynda Like

I already submitted my personal response to you but I also want to show my support for Rep. Brett Miller.

3200

**Rosi, Valorie**

---

**From:** Lynda Like <lynda6818@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 15, 2018 6:55 PM  
**To:** Spotts, Jeffrey M  
**Subject:** Changing hops law

RECEIVED  
IRRC

2018 APR 20 P 3:23

My name is Lynda Like and property owner in Conestoga Twp and in court against Williams aka Transco pipeline. The letter of law before your current changes in progress is the property owner is the sole owner. Your own letter to me states just that. Your office sent me a notice of application for a driveway on my property from Williams in June 2017. They had no rights to my property and as such I should not have even received this notice and on top of that your office 'charged' me a 100.00 fee to be an intervener in this process

Unbelievable! I was never informed of the process of this application and disputed this right of way. Williams was in violation of applying for this permit and your office in my opinion, was an accessory to this demand. I didn't get eminent domain served on me until September 2017.

You are to me guilty of injustice to me or you would not now be changing this law to accommodate Williams. No one even emailed or called me to notify me about approving their application. I found out as I stood in front of a bulldozer demanding paperwork from your office. I guess my 100.00 didn't cover that consideration.

And now with this amendment to this law you are making sure your office is covered against any wrong doing going forward. You even have Williams paying for any legal fees for any future law suites. What are you doing for the landowner whose land was forcibly taken if any lawsuits are in the future? Your office is to protect the citizens of this state. For the state to approve a hops permit without any compensation to the landowner is in my opinion one more instance of ignoring and profiting from the landowner.

We used to have rights as a property owner but this law takes away another right of the 'sole' owner.

Lynda Like  
Conestoga Pa

Sent from my iPhone