

## HAMBURG, RUBIN, MULLIN, MAXWELL & LUPIN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW www.hrmml.com

RECEIVED

2007 NOV 27 PM 3-29

NOFPENDENT PEGULATORY
REVEN OCHRESION

09656-025

.

November 21, 2007

## <u>VIA EMAIL RegComments@state.pa.us</u> <u>And FIRST CLASS U.S. MAIL</u>

Environmental Quality Board P.O. Box 8477 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water, Public Notification: (DEP ID: 7-407 (#2637)) - Proposed Rulemaking published in the

Pennsylvania Bulletin on September 22, 2007

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Horsham Water & Sewer Authority ("Authority") prides itself on providing the highest quality water service to its customers and recognizes the importance of public notification requirements for those situations that present an imminent threat to a water supply, and offers the following comments to the above-referenced proposed rulemaking:

- 1. Section 109.701(3) of the proposed regulation is ambiguous and lacks specificity with respect to the "within one hour of discovery" notice requirement to DEP for certain violations or situations. The Authority suggests that the "within one hour of discovery" clock should only start after the public water supplier has had an opportunity to fully investigate the potential violation or situation that may give rise to the one hour reporting requirement. Otherwise, DEP will likely be provided notice of numerous inconsequential events.
- 2. The proposed regulation apparently requires boil water advisories in "negative pressure" situations, when, in fact, most of these situations do not result in a public health risk. Is this the intent of the proposed regulation?
- 3. Under Section 109.408(a)(ii)(A) of the proposed regulation, one option for delivery of a Tier I public notice is by automated telephone. There are a number of issues that must be evaluated prior to considering this mode of delivery, specifically the cost of obtaining and implementing such a system and the extent to which a public water supplier will be required to notify customers that refuse to provide their telephone numbers (e.g., unlisted numbers) or use cell phones exclusively. Furthermore, maintaining an up-to-date phone data bank may be burdensome and costly on the public water supplier.

{00108432;v1}

\*Edward Rubin I. Edmund Mullin J. Scott Maxwell Steven H. Lupin William C. Roeger, Jr. Douglas I Zeiders Carl N. Weiner Jonathan Samel, LL.M. Merle R. Ochrach Mark F. Himsworth Steven A. Hann Steven B. Barrett Christen G. Pionzio Joseph J. McGrory, Jr. Diane K. Foxman James C. Walker Ethan R. O'Shea Bernadette A. Kearney Scott D. Polsky, LL.M. Paul G. Mullin Karen T. Albright Adam L. Fernandez, LL.M. John J. Iannozzi William G. Roark Christine L. Madden John F. Walko Kevin Cornish

> OF COUNSEL: Gerald Hamburg Robert C. Fernandez Gary Jaffe

> \*Board Certified Civil Trial Advocate

LANSDALE OFFICE ACTS Center-Blue Bell 375 Morris Road Post Office Box 1479 Lansdale, PA 19446-073 Phone 215-661-0400 Fax 215-661-0315

> PERKASIE OFFICE 210 W. Walnut Street Post Office Box 259 Perkasie, PA 18944 Phone 215-257-3666 Fax 215-453-0892

LIMERICK OFFICE 296 W. Ridge Pike Suite 204 Limerick, PA 19468 Phone 800-99-LEGAL

ALLENTOWN OFFICE The Sovereign Building 609 Hamilton Mall Allentown, PA 18101 Phone 610-433-5850 Environmental Quality Board Page 2 November 21, 2007

4. Although Section 109.408(c) of the current regulation provides that delivery of a Tier I public notice is required to be given to "all persons served," this portion of the regulation should also be reviewed, particularly in light of the proposed regulation. It is unclear whether "all persons served" requires that notice be given to all customers of the public water supplier or, rather, only to those affected by the situation giving rise to the notice. The Authority suggests that notice should only be given to those persons affected by the particular situation (e.g., if a portion of a distribution system is affected by an event giving rise to the notice requirement, a separate, isolated part of the distribution system unaffected by the event should not likewise be subject to the notice requirement). The Authority is concerned that continued notification to customers that are not affected by a particular event will trigger undue alarm and may cause such customers to ignore a future notification if a situation arises which actually requires that action be taken.

Thank you in advance for considering these comments.

By:

Very truly yours,

HAMBURG, RUBIN, MULLIN, MAXWELL & LUPIN

HANN

SAH: ram

Tate, Michele

From:

Ruth Muir [rmuir@hrmml.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, November 21, 2007 3:44 PM

To:

RegComments@state.pa.us

Cc:

Steve Hann

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking: Safe Drinking Water, Public Notification: (DEP ID: 7-407 (#2637)) Proposed

Rulemaking published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on September 22, 2007

Comments submitted on behalf of Horsham Water & Sewer Authority by:

Steven A. Hann, Esquire Hamburg, Rubin, Mullin, Maxwell & Lupin 375 Moris Road, P.O. Box 1479 Lansdale, PA 19446-0773

<<4356 001.pdf>>

Ruth A. Muir, Secretary to Steven A. Hann, Esquire

Hamburg, Rubin, Mullin, Maxwell & Lupin 375 Morris Road, PO Box 1479 Lansdale, PA 19446-0773 215.661-0400; Fax: 215-661-0315

rmuir@hrmml.com www.hrmml.com

Please be advised that, based on current IRS rules and standards, the advice contained herein is not intended or written to be used, nor can it be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.

The information contained in this e-mail message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please call us immediately at 215-661-0400 if this is received in error.

\*\*\*\*\*