	00 11						
(2) I.D. Number (Governor's Of	ffice Use)		IRRC Number:				
(3) Short Title			01				
Repeal of Regulation dealing wi	ith inspection of Sta	ate Employees	' Retirement Board meeting				
(4) PA Code Cite	(5) Agency C	ontac & Tele	ephone Numbers				
4 Pa. Code Section 249.2(a)	Primary Co	Primary Contact: Robert Gentzel, 717-787-9657					
	Secondary (	Contact: Salva	tore Darigo, 717-783-7317				
(6) Type of Rulemaking (Check	One)	(7) Is a 120-1 Attached?	Day Emergency Certification				
Proposed Rulemaking X Final Order Adopting Regu Final Order, Proposed Rule		<u>X</u> No Yes: By	the Attorney General the Governor				
(8) Briefly explain the regulation							
The regulation states that the mi available for inspection by the pother Board records will be available.	ublic at the Board's	office during	Employees' Retirement Boa normal working hours and the				
(9) State the statutory authority i	for the regulation ar	nd any relevan	t state or federal court decision				
<b>7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.</b>							
71 Pa. C.S. Section 5902 (h)							
71 Pa. C.S. Section 5902 (h)							

. \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Regitator-Zangiyste Form
(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.
No.
(11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?
The regulation provides that the minutes of the Retirement Board's meeting will be the only records made available for public inspection. Repealing this regulation will remove from the books a regulation that directly conflicts with the Commonwealth's Right-To-Know Law, 65 P. S. §§ 66.1 et seq., as amended.
(12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with non-regulation.
There are none.
(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible
and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)
SERS active members (approximately 110,000 people, 80,000 under the governor's jurisdiction) and the interested public at large will benefit from the repeal of the regulation. The repeal will avoid confusion by removing a regulation contrary to the provisions of the Commonwealth's Right-To-Know Law, 65 P. S. §§ 66.1 et seq., as amended.

Regul	atory Analy	sis Form	710
(14) Describe who will be adversely affect completely as possible and approximate the			
No one.			
·			
(15) List the persons, groups or entities that (Approximate the number of people who was a second control of the control of th	•		regulation.
A regulation is being deleted, not promulge	ated.		
(16) Describe the communications with an the regulation. List the persons and/or ground the regulation.	-	-	=
SERS is not drafting a new regulation, and	so has not ask	ed for the public's inp	out.
·			
(17) Provide a specific estimate of the cost compliance, including any legal, accounting	_		
SERS is not writing a new regulation. By punnecessary and outmoded regulation.	roposing this r	epeal, SERS desires t	o eliminate an
SERS active members (approximately 110 the interested public at large will benefit fr confusion on the part of members of SERS contrary to the provisions of the Commonward	om the repeal of and the agency	of the regulation. The y's personnel by remo	repeal will avoid oving a regulation
amended.			
un.			

				Suprement for the make the first personal region and the suprement of the	COMMENTAL SECURITION ASSESSMENT OF SECURITION OF SECURITIO
	e de la companya de	:Reculate	io antisia l	iorm .	
(18) Provide a	specific estimate	of the costs an	d/or savings to	local governments	s associated with
	cluding any legal,		_	_	
There are none	e. Local governme	ents are not aff	ected.		
l more are none	. Local go volimie	ints are not arr	ootou.		
ļ Į					
,					
(19) Provide a	specific estimate	of the costs an	d/or savings to s	state government	associated with the
implementation					associated with the procedures which may
implementation be required.  SERS is not with	n of the regulation	a, including an ation. By prop	y legal, accounti	ing or consulting	procedures which may
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and	n of the regulation	a, including an ation. By prop	y legal, accounti	ing or consulting	procedures which may
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation	a, including an ation. By prop	y legal, accounti	ing or consulting	procedures which may
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation	n, including an ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountions or this repeal or the r	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation	n, including an ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation	n, including an ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation	n, including an ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation and outmoded regul	ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation	ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting the series to ciated with the im-	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation and outmoded regul	ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accountion osing this repeal re no costs associated associated the second costs associated the second costs associated the second costs as sociated the second costs as second costs	ing or consulting	procedures which may be eliminate an eplementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation	ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accounti	ing or consulting	procedures which may eliminate an plementation of this
implementation be required.  SERS is not with unnecessary and repeal.	n of the regulation riting a new regulation and outmoded regul	ation. By proplation. There a	y legal, accounting this repeal re no costs associated	ing or consulting	procedures which may eliminate an plementation of this

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and cost associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

	Current FY	FY +1	FY +2	FY +3	FY +4	FY +5
	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
SAVINGS:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Regulated Community	*					
Local Government	*					
State Government	*					
<b>Total Savings</b>	*				_	
COSTS:						
Regulated Community	*					
Local Government	*					
State Government	*					
Total Costs	*					
REVENUE LOSSES:						
Regulated Community	*					
Local Government	*					
State Government	*					_
Total Revenue Losses	*					

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

<sup>\*</sup>There is no cost/benefit analysis associated with the elimination of this outmoded regulation. Such repeal will not add any costs.

æ	433	10.5	ь.	200	34	ωe	N.e	4.5	ov:	.60	81.3	m.c	30		35	75	35	52	М.	11.	100	120		70	22 T	FX.	m	CL4	27-9	m	1.7	10	25.0	83	
g.	æ	57	ж	32	100	$\mathbf{x}_{\lambda}$	2.2	83	98	SΧ	27.4	O.Σ	e a	84.3	m	æ	£0	200	ж.	Œ.	971	2.0	200	æ	23.	44	211	23.2	323	æ	200	3.82	3.8	6,5	Ν.
ñ	80	· .	34	ж.	Ħ	Y N	88	<b>.</b>	ж.	nΣ	7.0	ж.	88	i di	æ.	10	Ε÷	78	355	243	30	7.2	20	۳.	35	i i	837	Νi.		e:	Ю.	i No	30.5	r asi	ä.
73	66	9	23	- 32	×		mg	Υï	- 9	46	2	æ	26	20.7	٧т	R3	10.5		g.m	ж.	-	N 3	200	o.	ж.	m	100	Mar.	16	77	m.	-	5"Y	1	×
и	**	3	-		45	9	2	48	. 4		9		84	880	٠.	:98	ж.	•	88	8 8	24	NΕ	м	ж,	- 8		78	œ	-	a.		8.3	1	м	æ
Ċ.	2.2	-	70	S.	77	,	名 :	w	ж	à.	200		ь.	ИS	36	Σ×	ж	354	х.			Z.S	æ	w		ж	542		785	к.	100		2,500	12.	46

(20b) Provide the past three-year expenditure history for programs affected by the regulation.

FY-3	FY-2	FY-1	Current FY
			<del> </del>
	FY-3	FY-3 FY-2	

(21) Using the cost-benefit information provided above, explain how the benefits of the regulation outweigh the adverse effects and costs.

No such information exists.

There are none.

(22) Describe the nonregulatory alternatives considered and the costs associated with those alternatives. Provide the reasons for their dismissal.

SERS is seeking to repeal a regulation, not promulgate a new regulation.

(23) Describe alternative regulatory schemes considered and the costs associated with those schemes. Provide the reasons for their dismissal.

There are none. SERS is seeking to repeal a regulation, not promulgate a new regulation.

(24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation.
No.
(25) How does the regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put Pennsylvania
at a competitive disadvantage with other states?
The regulatory repeal will not put Pennsylvania at a disadvantage with other states, because the fiscal integrity of the system is not affected.
(26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.
The regulatory repeal will only affect SERS.
(07) 17/11
(27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the dates, times, and locations, if available.
SERS has not held public hearings or informational meetings, but did have a public comment period to comply with the regulatory process. The general public will not be affected by this regulatory repeal.

A Gegliatik gansiyot Rom, 1-3
(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements? Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of implementation, if available.
No, it will not change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements.
(29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.
Not applicable.

(30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained?

SERS anticipates that the repeal of the regulation will take effect immediately upon approval.

(31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.

There is no schedule because there is no new regulation, only a repeal.

## FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

#### DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality.	Copy below is hereby certified to be true and correct copy of a document issued, prescribed, or promulgated by:
By: (Deputy Attorney General)  Date of Approval	State Employees' Retirement System (Agency)  31-5  Document/Fiscal Note No.  Date of Adoption:  By:
Check if applicable. Copy not approved. Objections attached.	·
Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Execution  Michael A. Budin Chief Counsel, State Employees' Retirement System  Date of Approval	APPROVED FOR FORM AND LEGALITY  MAR 0 9 2006  EXECUTIVE DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL

State Employees' Retirement System

4 Pa. Code, Section 249.2(a)

Eric Henry, Executive Director

# Notice of Final Rulemaking State Employees' Retirement Board State Employees' Retirement System (4 Pa. Code Chapter 249) (Administration, Funds, Accounts, General Provisions)

#### **Preamble**

The State Employees' Retirement Board (Board) proposes to amend 4 Pa. Code, Chapter 249 (relating to the administrative duties of the Board) by deleting the regulation dealing with the inspection of minutes of the Board's meetings of the Board from Section 249.2. This regulation has been rendered obsolete.

#### A. Effective Date

This amendment will go into effect upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* as final rulemaking.

#### B. Contact Person

For further information contact Robert Gentzel, Director of Communications and Policy, State Employees' Retirement System, 30 North Third Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 787-9657, or Salvatore A. Darigo, Jr., Counsel, State Employees' Retirement System, 30 North Third Street, Harrisburg, PA, 17101, (717) 787-7317. Information regarding submitting comments on this proposal appears in Section H of this preamble.

#### C. Statutory Authority

This proposed rulemaking is being made under the authority of Section 5902(h) of the State Employees' Retirement Code, 71 Pa.C.S. Section 5101 et seq.

#### D. Background and Purpose

The Board is responsible for implementing the retirement benefit program outlined in the State Employees' Retirement Code. The regulation at 4 Pa. Code Section 249.2 provides that the minutes of the Retirement Board's meetings will be available for public inspection at the Board's offices during normal working hours. The regulation further provides that the minutes will be the only records made available for public inspection. Repealing this regulation will remove from the books a regulation that directly conflicts with the Commonwealth's Right-To-Know Law. The purpose of this proposed repeal is to remove an outmoded and unenforceable regulation that directly conflicts with the Commonwealth's Right-To-Know Law.

#### E. Benefits, Costs and Compliance

Executive Order 1996-1 requires a cost/benefit analysis of the proposed regulation.

#### **Benefits**

This final-form rulemaking benefits SERS and its members. This regulation is unnecessary, outmoded, and irrelevant. Repealing this regulation will avoid confusion on the part of members of SERS and the agency's personnel, reduce paperwork, and potentially reduce the number of administrative hearings for redress of grievances.

#### Costs

There are no additional costs to the Commonwealth, its citizens or state employees associated with this proposal.

#### **Compliance Costs**

The proposed amendment is not expected to impose any additional compliance costs on state employees.

#### F. Sunset Review

Not applicable.

#### G. Regulatory Review

Under Section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5(a)), on August 25, 2005 the Board submitted a copy of these proposed amendments to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and the Chairpersons of the House State Government Committee and the Senate Finance Committee. In addition to submitting the proposed amendments, the Board has provided IRRC and the Committees with a detailed regulatory analysis form prepared by the Department in compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, "Regulatory Review and Promulgation". A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, if IRRC has objections to any portion of the proposed amendment, it will notify the Board within 10 days of the close of the Committees' review period. The notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria that have not been met by the portion of the proposed amendments to which an objection has been made. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review by the General Assembly and the Governor of objections raised by IRRC prior to final publication of the amendments by the Board.

On November 10, 2005, IRRC advised the Board that it had no objections, comments, or recommendations to offer on the proposed regulation.

Under section 5.1(d) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(d)), this final-form regulation was deemed approved by the House State Government Committee on [DATE] and deemed approved by the Senate Finance Committee on [DATE]. Under section 5.1(e) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(e)), the final-form rulemaking was deemed approved by IRRC effective [DATE].

#### H. Public Comments

The Board has received no public comments.

#### I. Findings

The Board finds that:

- (1) Public notice of intention to amend the regulations herein was given under 1 Pa.Code § 7.1 (relating to notice of proposed rulemaking required) by publication at 35 Pa. B. 5013.
- (2) The amendments to the rules and procedures herein are necessary and appropriate for the administration of the code.

#### J. Order

The Board, acting under the State Employees' Retirement Code and the Commonwealth Documents Law, including particularly those sections specified in the several authority sections herein specified with respect to each provision of the rules and procedures of SERS modified by this order, orders:

- (a) The regulations for the Board, 4 Pa. Code Chapter 243 are amended by amending section 243.3, and the regulations for the Board, 4 Pa. Code Chapter 249 are amended by amending section 249.58.
- (b) The amendments shall be submitted to the Office of Attorney General for approval as to legality as required by law.
- (c) The Secretary of the Board shall certify this order and 35 Pa. B. 5013 and deposit them with the Legislative Reference Bureau as required by law.
- (d) This order shall take effect immediately upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

BY:

Eric Henry, Secretary

State Employees' Retirement Board

## Annex A TITLE 4. ADMINISTRATION PART X. STATE EMPLOYES' RETIREMENT BOARD CHAPTER 249. ADMINISTRATION, FUNDS, ACCOUNTS, GENERAL PROVISIONS

4 Pa. Code § 249.2 (2006)

#### **Draft Amendment to Regulation**

(Note: There are no additions, and deletions are noted by bold brackets. There have been no additions or deletions since the proposed stage.)

#### § 249.2. Administrative duties of the Board.

- [(a) The minutes of all the Board meetings will be available for public inspection at the offices of the Board during normal working hours. No other records of the Board will be available for inspection by the public.]
- [(b)] The Board will furnish, to the extent required by Federal law, information to members concerning those provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, which may impose a tax liability to a member or beneficiary. The sole responsibility for such tax liability, including tax computations, is imposed upon the member and not the Board and the member should consult tax counsel for advice in these matters since the Board is not qualified or required to offer same.



30 North Third Street, Suite 150
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1716
www.sers.state.pa.us
Executive Office

Telephone: 717-787-9657 FAX: 717-783-7300



March 14, 2006

The Honorable Babette Josephs Minority Chairwoman of the House State Government Committee 300 Main Capitol Harrisburg, PA 17120-2020

Re:

Title 4 – Administration

Part X – State Employees' Retirement Board

4 Pa. Code Section 249-Administration, Funds, Accounts, General

Provisions, Section 249.2

I.D. Number: 31-5

#### Dear Representative Josephs:

Attached for review by your committee, pursuant to the Regulatory Review Act of June 30, 1989 (P.L. 73, No. 19) (71 P.S. sections 745.1-745.15) as amended, is a copy of the above-referenced final regulation of the State Employees' Retirement Board.

The State Employees' Retirement System will provide your committee with any assistance you require to facilitate a thorough review of this regulation.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Henry, Secretary

State Employees' Retirement Board

cc:

Michael A. Budin, Chief Legal Counsel Pamela Lubold, Office of General Counsel Nicholas Maiale, Chairman



30 North Third Street, Suite 150
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1716
www.sers.state.pa.us
Executive Office
Telephone: 717-787-9657
FAX: 717-783-7300



March 14, 2006

The Honorable Paul Clymer Majority Chairman of the House State Government Committee PA House of Representatives 216 Ryan Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120-2020

Re:

Title 4 – Administration

Part X – State Employees' Retirement Board

4 Pa. Code Section 249-Administration, Funds, Accounts, General

Provisions, Section 249.2

I.D. Number: 31-5

#### Dear Representative Clymer:

Attached for review by your committee, pursuant to the Regulatory Review Act of June 30, 1989 (P.L. 73, No. 19) (71 P.S. sections 745.1-745.15) as amended, is a copy of the above-referenced final regulation of the State Employees' Retirement Board.

The State Employees' Retirement System will provide your committee with any assistance you require to facilitate a thorough review of this regulation.

Respectfully, submitted,

Eric Henry, Secretary

State Employees' Retirement Board

cc:

Michael A. Budin, Chief Legal Counsel Pamela Lubold, Office of General Counsel

Nicholas Maiale, Chairman



30 North Third Street, Suite 150
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1716
www.sers.state.pa.us
Executive Office
Telephone: 717-787-9657
FAX: 717-783-7300



March 14, 2006

The Honorable Jane Earll
Majority Chairwoman of the Senate Finance Committee
PA Senate
351 Capitol Building
Senate Box 203049
Harrisburg, PA 17120-3049

Re:

Title 4 – Administration

Part X - State Employees' Retirement Board

4 Pa. Code Section 249-Administration, Funds, Accounts, General

Provisions, Section 249.2

I.D. Number: 31-5

#### Dear Senator Earll:

Attached for review by your committee, pursuant to the Regulatory Review Act of June 30, 1989 (P.L. 73, No. 19) (71 P.S. sections 745.1-745.15) as amended, is a copy of the above-referenced final-form regulation of the State Employees' Retirement Board.

The State Employees' Retirement System will provide your committee with any assistance you require to facilitate a thorough review of this regulation.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Henry, Secretary

State Employees' Retirement Board

cc:

Michael A. Budin, Chief Legal Counsel Pamela Lubold, Office of General Counsel

Nicholas Maiale, Chairman



30 North Third Street, Suite 150
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1716
www.sers.state.pa.us
Executive Office
Telephone: 717-787-9657
FAX: 717-783-7300



March 14, 2006

The Honorable John Wozniak
Minority Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee
PA Senate
184 Capitol Building
Senate Box 203035
Harrisburg, PA 17120-3035

Re:

Title 4 – Administration

Part X – State Employees' Retirement Board

4 Pa. Code Section 249-Administration, Funds, Accounts, General

Provisions, Section 249.2

I.D. Number: 31-5

#### Dear Senator Wozniak:

Attached for review by your committee, pursuant to the Regulatory Review Act of June 30, 1989 (P.L. 73, No. 19) (71 P.S. sections 745.1-745.15) as amended, is a copy of the above-referenced final regulation of the State Employees' Retirement Board.

The State Employees' Retirement System will provide your committee with any assistance you require to facilitate a thorough review of this regulation.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Henry, Secretary

State Employees' Retirement Board

cc:

Michael A. Budin, Chief Legal Counsel Pamela Lubold, Office of General Counsel

Nicholas Maiale, Chairman

## TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

I.D. NUMBEI	R: 31-5
SUBJECT:	Administration, Funds, Accounts, General Provisions: Repeal of Regulation Dealing with State Employees' Retirement Board Meeting Minutes
AGENCY:	STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
	TYPE OF REGULATION Proposed Regulation
х	Final Regulation
	Final Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted
	That regulation with reduce of Proposed Reformating Officed
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Attorney General
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor
	Delivery of Tolled Regulation
	a. With Revisions b. Without Revisions
	FILING OF REGULATION
DATE	SIGNATURE DESIGNATION
3/4/06 61	HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE GOVERNMENT
3/14/06	7.6.5
3/14/06	SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
3-14-06	tions
3/14/46	JLATORY REVIEW COMMISSION
	ATTORNEY GENERAL (for Final Omitted only)
	LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU (for Proposed only)