This space for use by IRRC Regulatory Analysis Form 2010 -1 2011:57 (1) Agency Department of State, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, State Board of Osteopathic Medicine (2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Use) IRRC Number: 16A-5312 (3) Short Title Osteopathic Physician Delegation of Medical Services (4) PA Code Cite (5) Agency Contacts & Telephone Numbers 49 Pa. Code §§25.21 and 25.217 Primary Contact: Beth Sender Michlovitz, Counsel **State Board of Osteopathic Medicine** (717)783-7200 Secondary Contact: Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State (717)78 3-7200 (7) Is a 120-Day Emergency Certification (6) Type of Rulemaking (check one) Attached? **Proposed Rulemaking** X No X Final Order Adopting Regulation Final, Proposed Omitted Yes: By the Attorney General Yes: By the Governor (8) Briefly explain the regulation in clear and nontechnical language. The regulation establishes criteria under which an osteopathic physician may delegate the performance of medical services. (9) State the statutory authority for the regulation and any relevant state or federal court decisions. Section 3 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (63 P.S. §271.3) permits osteopathic

(9) State the statutory authority for the regulation and any relevant state or federal court decisions. Section 3 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (63 P.S. §271.3) permits osteopathic physicians to delegate the performance of medical services. Section 3 provides in relevant part as follows: Nothing in this act shall be construed to prohibit services and acts rendered by a qualified physician assistant, technician or other allied medical person if such services and acts are rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician."

Section 16 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (63 P.S. §271.16) authorizes the Board to promulgate such regulations as are necessary to carry out the purposes of the Act.

Regulatory Analysis Form
(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.
No.
(11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?
The regulation provides much sought after direction pertaining to the appropriate delegation of medical services by osteopathic physicians.
·
(12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.
Inappropriate delegation of medical services increases the risk of harm to patients.
(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)
Patient safety is increased when medical services are performed by qualified personnel. This regulation would assist osteopathic physicians in ascertaining whether delegation is appropriate.
·

	_	
ulator	W / \like	

(14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adverse effects as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely affected.)

This regulation would not adversely affect anyone.

(15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the regulation. (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)

The approximately 6500 osteopathic physicians licensed in the Commonwealth will be required to comply with the regulation.

(16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.

Under Executive Order 1996-1 public comment was solicited by persons who have identified themselves to the Board as interested in Board activity. In addition, the State Board of Medicine had previously solicited comments on the initial draft of its delegation regulation. It amended its regulation in response to the comments. The Osteopathic Medical Board's proposed language is identical to the State Board of Medicine's amended language. Only the Pennsylvania Society of Physician Assistants commented on the Osteopathic Board's draft proposal. The Society indicated its support of the proposed regulation. After publication of the proposed rulemaking, the Board received comments from IRRC and the Pennsylvania Association of Nurse Anesthetists (PANA). The Board considered all comments received in developing this final rulemaking.

(17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

No costs or savings are generated by this regulation.

	Regulatory /	Analysis Form	
		nd/or savings to local gover sulting procedures which ma	
No costs or saving	are generated by this regu	lation.	
			ı
	•		
	•		
implementation of the required.	egulation, including any lega	or savings to state governm l, accounting, or consulting p	
140 costs of savings	are generated by this regu	iation,	
			•
		·	

Regulatory Analysis Form

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years. N/A

	Current FY Year	FY +1 Year	FY +2 Year	FY +3 Year	FY +4 Year	FY +5 Year
SAVINGS:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Regulated						
Local Government						
State Government						
Total Savings						
COSTS:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Regulated						
Local Government						
State Government						
Total Costs						
REVENUE LOSSES:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Regulated						
Local Government						
State Government						
Total Revenue Losses						

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

N/A

Regulatory Analysis Form (20b) Provide the past three year expenditure history for programs affected by the regulation. N/A FY -3 FY -2 **Program** FY -1 **Current FY** 99 - 0000 - 0101 - 0202 - 03State Board of Osteopathic Medicine 405,527.84 457,338.63 503,718.72 1,172,000.00 (21) Using the cost-benefit information provided above, explain how the benefits of the regulation outweigh the adverse effects and costs. There is no cost associated with this regulation. It is anticipated that patient safety will be increased when medical services are performed by qualified personnel. This regulation will assist osteopathic physicians in ascertaining whether delegation is appropriate. (22) Describe the nonregulatory alternatives considered and the costs associated with those alternatives. Provide the reasons for their dismissal. The nonregulatory alternative of publishing the guidelines informally led to requests from the regulated community for more formal guidance. (23) Describe alternative regulatory schemes considered and the costs associated with those schemes. Provide the reasons for their dismissal. Alternative regulation would establish procedure-by-procedure, specialty-by-specialty requirements which would be overly restrictive, impossible to monitor, and cost prohibitive.

Regulatory Analysis Form

(24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation.

No.

(25) How does this regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states?

The regulation establishes general criteria osteopathic physicians should consider in making delegation decisions. The regulation is not restrictive and will not place Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage. To the contrary, the regulated community is receptive to the proposal.

Four of our bordering states responded to inquiries pertaining to their regulatory scheme regarding physician delegation of medical services.

Ohio indicated that it does not have specific regulations addressing the issue but rather provided guidance through policy directives which were under review and not currently available.

New York indicated that regulations were not currently in place but rather statutory provisions contained in the New York Public Health law permitted physicians to delegate.

Delaware provided a copy of the Delaware Board of Medicine Rule, Section 21, which authorizes physicians to delegate to non-physicians. Substantively, the Delaware regulation appeared similar to this proposal with some additional detail vis-a-vis patient re-evaluation, and levels of supervision.

Maryland's regulation, though more extensive, is not inconsistent with this proposal. However, the scope of Maryland's proposal is different from this proposed regulation. The Maryland proposal seeks to address specific medical acts which may be delegated and under what circumstances. The Pennsylvania Board has long held the position that specific procedure by procedure regulations are generally overly restrictive and impede safe and effective delivery of health care.

(26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.

No.

(27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the dates, times, and locations, if available.

No public hearings are scheduled. The Board discusses all regulatory proposals at its regularly scheduled public meetings. A schedule of the Board's meetings is available on the Department of State's website at www.dos.state.pa.us/bpoa.

1	Regulatory Analysis Form
	(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements? Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of implementation, if available.
	No.
	(29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.
	N/A
	(30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained?
	The regulation will become effective on publication as a final-form regulation.
-	(31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.
	The Board continuously monitors the effectiveness of its regulations.
L	

- (5) Waiver of the CME requirements may be permitted, as follows:
- (i) The Board may grant a hardship waiver of all or a part of the continuing medical education requirement in cases of serious illness, military service or other good cause provided that the public's safety and welfare will not be jeopardized by the granting of the waiver.
- (ii) Requests for waiver shall be made in writing, with appropriate documentation, and shall include a description of circumstances sufficient to show why compliance is impossible.
- (iii) Waiver requests will be evaluated by the Board on a case-by-case basis. The Board will send written notification of its approval or denial of a waiver request.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 04-16. Filed for public inspection January 2, 2004, 9:00 a.m.]

STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

[49 PA. CODE CH. 25]
Delegation of Medical Services

The State Board of Osteopathic Medicine (Board) proposes to amend § 25.1 (relating to definitions) by adding a definition for "emergency medical services personnel" and to add § 25.217 (relating to delegation) to read as set forth in Annex A.

A. Effective Date

The proposed rulemaking will be effective upon publication as a final-form rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

B. Statutory Authority

Section 16 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (act) (63 P. S. § 271.16) authorizes the Board to promulgate regulations necessary to carry out the purposes of the act. Section 3 of the act (63 P. S. § 271.3) permits osteopathic physicians to delegate medical services and acts to physician assistants, technicians or other allied medical personnel if services and acts are rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician.

C. Background and Purpose

The Board routinely receives inquiries about whether a particular delegation of medical services is appropriate. In an effort to be responsive to the regulated community and to provide a framework that places patient safety and welfare at the forefront of the osteopathic physician's decision making process, the Board determined to codify basic criteria under which an osteopathic physician may delegate the performance of medical services to nonphysicians.

D. Description of Proposed Rulemaking

Section 3 of the act permits osteopathic physicians to delegate the performance of medical services. Section 3 of the act provides in relevant part as follows:

"Nothing in this act shall be construed to prohibit services and acts rendered by a qualified physician assistant, technician or other allied medical person if such services and acts are rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician." The proposed rulemaking adds § 25.217. This section establishes general criteria under which an osteopathic physician may exercise professional judgment in making the decision to delegate medical services.

Section 25.217(a) establishes the criteria under which delegation could occur. Specifically, § 25.217(a)(1) requires that delegation be consistent with standards of acceptable medical practice. Standards of acceptable medical practice may be discerned from current medical literature and texts, medical teaching facilities publications and faculty, expert practitioners in the field and the commonly accepted practice of practitioners experienced in the field.

Section 25.217(a)(2) prohibits an osteopathic physician from expanding the scope of practice of other health care practitioners where the General Assembly or the licensing board responsible for regulating the other health care practitioner has prohibited the performance of those services by the other health care practitioner. Section 25.217(a)(3) requires the osteopathic physician to assure that the individual practitioner or technician to whom the delegation is being made has sufficient education, training and competency so that the delegatee knows how to perform the service safely. Accordingly, the osteopathic physician would be obligated to determine whether the delegatee is competent to perform the procedure. This may be accomplished by determining whether the delegatee is licensed, certified or possesses documented education and training related to the service. The physician may choose to monitor the delegatee to become satisfied as to the delegatee's competence.

Section 25.217(a)(4) prohibits delegation when the particular patient presents with unusual complications, family history or condition so 'hat the performance of the medical service poses a special risk to that particular patient. Unlike the other provisions, this section directs the osteopathic physician's attention to the needs of the particular patient. A determination shall be made that the service may be rendered to the particular patient without undue risk. It is the physician's responsibility to make that assessment.

Section 25.217(a)(5) recognizes that patients are autonomous and that consideration of patient autonomy and dignity is a responsibility of the osteopathic physician. Thus, it is the osteopathic physician's responsibility to assure that the patient is advised as to the nature of the medical service and the reason for the delegation, so that the patient might exercise his right to request the service be performed by the osteopathic physician. The primary relationship in the delivery of medical services is between the patient and the physician. The person in charge of this relationship is the patient. Communication with the patient and education of the patient is essential to the proper delivery of medical services, and a primary obligation of physicians.

Section 25.217(a)(6) directs the osteopathic physician to provide the level of supervision and direction appropriate to the circumstance surrounding the delivery of the medical service. It underscores the fact that the osteopathic physician is ultimately responsible for the patient's well-being and requires the physician to maintain the level of involvement in the treatment process as required by section 3 of the act.

Section 25.217(b) prohibits the delegation of a medical service when the service is sufficiently complicated, difficult or dangerous that it would require a degree of education and training possessed by osteopathic physi-

cians, but not commonly possessed by nonphysicians. Additionally, this section prohibits delegation of medical services in situations when potential adverse reactions may not be readily apparent to an individual without physician training. These criteria are intended to prohibit the delegation of medical services when the delegation poses undue risk to patients generally.

Section 25.217(c) requires the osteopathic physician to be sufficiently knowledgeable about the medical service so that the osteopathic physician is not merely a straw man. It should be axiomatic that the individual who has responsibility and authority for directing others in delivering medical services has the knowledge, ability and competency pertaining to the performance of those services.

Section 25.217(d) reminds osteopathic physicians that they retain responsibility for the performance of the service whether they perform it themselves or direct another to do so.

Section 25.217(e) recognizes the reality that emergencies arise when available health care personnel must immediately attend to patients, even though under nonemergency circumstances, the osteopathic physician would be the most appropriate person to care directly for the patient.

Section 25.217(f) recognizes that licensed or certified health care practitioners have a scope of practice defined by statute and regulations. This proposed rulemaking is not intended to restrict or limit the performance of medical services that fall within the parameters established by law. Specific examples have been provided because of concerns that were expressed to the Board pertaining to those practitioners. They are provided as examples and are not intended to be all-inclusive.

E. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

There is no adverse fiscal impact or paperwork requirement imposed on the Commonwealth, political subdivisions or the private sector. Citizens of this Commonwealth will benefit in that this proposed rulemaking promotes patient safety and welfare as a consideration in making medical service delegation decisions.

F. Sunset Date

The Board continuously monitors its regulations. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

G. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P. S. § 745.5(a)), on December 16, 2003, the Board submitted a copy of this proposed rulemaking and a copy of a Regulatory Analysis Form to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and to the Chairpersons of the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee and the House Professional Licensure Committee. A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, IRRC may convey any comments, recommendations or objections to the proposed rulemaking within 30 days of the close of the public comment period. The comments, recommendations or objections shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the rulemaking, by the Board, the General Assembly and the Governor of comments, recommendations or objections raised.

H. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed rulemaking to Amy L. Nelson, Board Counsel, P. O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649 within 30 days following publication of the proposed rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Cite "delegation of medical services" when submitting comments.

THOMAS R. CZARNECKI, D.O., Chairperson

Fiscal Note: 16A-5312. No fiscal impact; (8) recommends adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS

PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Subpart A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

CHAPTER 25. STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

Subchapter A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 25.1 Definitions.

The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

Emergency medical services personnel—Individuals who deliver emergency medical services and who are regulated by the Department of Health under the Emergency Medical Services Act (35 P. S. §§ 6921—6938).

Subchapter D. MINIMUM STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

§ 25.217. Delegation.

- (a) An osteopathic physician may delegate to a health care practitioner or technician the performance of a medical service if the following conditions are met:
- (1) The delegation is consistent with the standards of acceptable medical practice embraced by the osteopathic physician community in this Commonwealth. Standards of acceptable medical practice may be discerned from current peer reviewed medical literature and texts, teaching facility practices and instruction, the practice of expert practitioners in the field and the commonly accepted practice of practitioners in the field.
- (2) The delegation is not prohibited by the statutes or regulations relating to the other health care practitioner.
- (3) The osteopathic physician has knowledge that the delegatee has education, training, experience and continued competency to safely perform the medical service being delegated.
- (4) The osteopathic physician has determined that the delegation to a health care practitioner or technician does not create an undue risk to the particular patient being treated.
- (5) The nature of the service and the delegation of the service has been explained to the patient and the patient does not object to the performance by the health care practitioner or technician. Unless otherwise required by

law, the explanation may be oral and may be given by someone other than the osteopathic physician.

- (6) The osteopathic physician assumes the responsibility for the delegated medical service, including the performance of the service, and is available to the delegatee as appropriate to the difficulty of the procedure, the skill of the delegatee and risk to the particular patient.
- (b) An osteopathic physician may not delegate the performance of a medical service if performance of the medical service or if recognition of the complications or risks associated with the delegated medical service requires knowledge and skill not ordinarily possessed by nonphysicians.
- (c) An osteopathic physician may not delegate a medical service which the osteopathic physician is not trained, qualified and competent to perform.
- (d) An osteopathic physician shall be responsible for the medical services delegated to the health care practitioner or technician.
- (e) An osteopathic physician may approve a standing protocol delegating medical acts to another health care practitioner who encounters a medical emergency that requires medical services for stabilization until the osteopathic physician or emergency medical services personnel are available to attend to the patient.
- (f) This section does not prohibit a health care practitioner who is licensed or certified by a Commonwealth agency from practicing within the scope of that license or certificate or as otherwise authorized by law. For example, this section is not intended to restrict the practice of certified registered nurse anesthetists, nurse midwives, certified registered nurse practitioners, physician assistants or other individuals practicing under the authority of specific statutes or regulations.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 04-17. Filed for public inspection January 2, 2004, 9:00 a.m.]

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

[49 PA. CODE CH. 41] Notice Requirements

The State Board of Psychology (Board) proposes to add §§ 41.91 and 41.92 (relating to reporting of crimes and disciplinary actions; and notice of active suspension or revocation) to read as set forth in Annex A.

A. Effective Date

The proposed rulemaking will be effective upon final-form publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

B. Statutory Authority

The amendments are proposed under the authority of sections 3.2(2), 8(a)(6)-(8), 8.1, 11(c) and 17 of the Professional Psychologists Practice Act (act) (63 P.S. §§ 1203.2(2), 1208(a)(6)-(8), 1208.1, 1211(c) and 1217).

C. Purpose and Background

Sections 8(a)(6)—(8) of the act authorize the Board to discipline licensees who have been convicted of or plead guilty or nolo contendere to a felony or misdemeanor in the practice of psychology; have been disciplined by the

licensing authority of another state, territory or country; or are unable to practice with reasonable skill and safety by reason of illness, drunkenness, excessive use of drugs, narcotics, chemicals or any other type of material. However, there is no provision in the Board's regulations that requires licensees to report pleas or convictions to the Board in advance of biennial renewal. It may be almost 2 years before the Board first learns of the conviction. Similarly, although section 11(c) of the act requires licensees to return suspended and revoked licenses to the Board, there is no provision in the Board's regulations which requires that they be returned within a specified time.

Additionally, although section 8.1 of the act prohibits suspended and revoked licensees from providing service that falls within the practice of psychology, section 3 of the act (63 P. S. § 1203) carves out numerous exemptions for licensure, including one for qualified members of other recognized professions. A suspended or revoked psychologist may continue to provide similar services to clients/patients under another title without any interruptions. The Board believes that to properly protect the clients/patients of suspended or revoked licensees, the licensees shall advise their clients/patients of the disciplinary action so that the clients/patients can make an informed decision whether to continue treatment with the provider.

D. Description of Proposed Rulemaking

1. Reporting of crimes and disciplinary actions

Proposed § 41.91(a) requires licensees who have been convicted of or pleaded guilty or nolo contendere to a felony or misdemeanor to notify the Board of the action within 30 days of the verdict or plea or on the biennial renewal application, whichever is sooner. In requiring that all misdemeanors be reported, the Board recognizes that it may only take disciplinary action against a licensee where the misdemeanor involves the practice of psychology or where the misdemeanor evidences that the licensee is unable to practice with reasonable skill and safety by reason of illness, drunkenness, excessive use of drugs, narcotics, chemicals or any other type of material. Under this proposed rulemaking, summary offenses would not have to be reported.

Proposed § 41.92(b) tracks the language of section 8(a)(7) of the act in connection with disciplinary actions taken by other jurisdictions. Licensees would be required to report those disciplinary actions within 30 days or on the biennial renewal application, whichever is sooner.

2. Notice of active suspension or revocation

In addition to requiring licensees who have been actively suspended or revoked to return their license to the Board within 30 days, proposed § 41.92 would require these licensees to advise their current clients/patients of the disciplinary action in writing.

E. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P. S. § 745.5(a)), on December 17, 2003, the Board submitted a copy of this proposed rulemaking and a copy of a Regulatory Analysis Form to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and to the Chairpersons of the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee and the House Professional Licensure Committee. A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, IRRC may convey any comments, recommendations or objections to the proposed rulemaking within 30 days of the

Comments of the Independent Regulatory Review Commission

on

State Board of Osteopathic Medicine Regulation No. 16A-5312

Delegation of Medical Services

March 3, 2004

We submit for your consideration the following comments that include references to the criteria in the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5b) which have not been met. The State Board of Osteopathic Medicine (Board) must respond to these comments when it submits the final-form regulation. The public comment period for this regulation closed on February 2, 2004. If the final-form regulation is not delivered within two years of the close of the public comment period, the regulation will be deemed withdrawn.

Section 25.217. Delegation. - Reasonableness; Consistency with the statute; Clarity.

Subsection (a)(5) requires that the nature and delegation of the medical service be explained to the patient. This subsection permits the explanation to be oral and given by "someone other than the osteopathic physician." This is inconsistent with Section 3 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act which indicates that delegated services are to be "rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician" (63 P.S. § 271.3). If the services are "rendered under the supervision, direction or control" of a physician, then the proposed regulation should specify the physician's role in the explanation given to the patient.

An example of an approach that places responsibility on the physician is the regulation recently adopted by the State Board of Medicine. The corresponding provision in the regulations of the State Board of Medicine at 49 Pa. Code § 18.402(a)(5) (relating to physician delegation) states the explanation will be given by "the physician or the physician's designee." This language is more precise than the proposed regulation because it identifies the physician's involvement in the explanation given to the patient. Therefore, the Board should amend Subsection (a)(5) to require that "the osteopathic physician or the osteopathic physician's designee" give the explanation.



Pennsylvania Association of Nurse Anesthetists 908 North Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17102 800-495-7262

Amy L. Nelson Board Counsel State Board of Osteopathic Medicine P.O. Box 2649 Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649

RECEIVED

FEB 0.4 2004

DOS LEGAL COUNSEL

Re: <u>Delegation of Medical Services</u>

Dear Ms. Nelson:

The Pennsylvania Association of Nurse Anesthetists opposes the Osteopathic version of the Delegation of Medical Services regulation. The points brought up at the hearings for the Physician Delegation of Medical Services from the State Board of Medicine remain unanswered. Several Representatives on the House Professional Licensure Committee spoke out against the regulation, saying that it did not and still does not define delegation or the specifics of delegation with respect to healthcare practitioners. In addition, those same Representatives objected to the addition of the word technician, who are by and large unlicensed.

The regulation still does not provide objective criteria to determine the knowledge or skill of the physician who may be delegating to an individual with more skill and expertise in that particular matter than the physician. This in itself creates an avenue for liability, increased billing costs, and fraud.

We continue to oppose this for of the regulation and believe that it is driven solely to encroach on the scope of practice of Nurse Anesthetists, Nurse Practitioners, Clinical Nurse Specialists, and all other Advanced Practice Nursing Groups.

Joan Joyce Carl

President, PANA

FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law) # 2376 DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Attorney General Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct Copy below is approved as to form and legality. Executive or Independent copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by: Agencies. State Board of Osteopathic Medicine (AGENCY) (DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL) DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 16A-5312 8.17.04 DATE OF ADOPTION: DATE OF APPROVAL DATE OF APPROVAL General Counsel

TITLE: Chairman
(EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY)

[] Check if applicable
Copy not approved.
Objections attached.
[] Check if applicable. No Attorney
General approval or
objection within 30 day
after submission.

NOTICE OF FINAL RULEMAKING
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE
49 PA. CODE, CHAPTER 25

OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN
DELEGATION OF MEDICAL SERVICES

The State Board of Osteopathic Medicine (Board) proposes to amend §25.1 (relating to definitions) by adding a definition for "Emergency medical services personnel" and to amend Subchapter D – Minimum Standards of Practice by adding a new section 25.217 pertaining to osteopathic physician delegation of medical services, to read as set forth in Annex A.

A. Effective Date

The regulations will be effective upon publication as final-form rulemaking in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

B. Statutory Authority

Section 16 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (the Act) (63 P.S. §271.16) authorizes the Board to promulgate such regulations as are necessary to carry out the purposes of the Act. Section 3 of the Act permits osteopathic physicians to delegate medical services and acts to physician assistants, technicians or other allied medical personnel if such services and acts are rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician.

C. Background and Purpose

The Board routinely receives inquiries about whether a particular delegation of medical services is appropriate. In an effort to be responsive to the regulated community, and to provide a framework that places patient safety and welfare at the forefront of the osteopathic physician's decision making process, the Board determined to codify basic criteria under which an osteopathic physician may delegate the performance of medical services to non-physicians.

D. Description of Proposed Regulation

Section 3 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (63 P.S. §271.3) permits osteopathic physicians to delegate the performance of medical services. Section 3 provides in relevant part as follows:

"Nothing in this act shall be construed to prohibit services and acts rendered by a qualified physician assistant, technician or other allied medical person if such services and acts are rendered under the supervision, direction or control of a licensed physician."

The proposal would add §25.217 (relating to delegation). This section would establish general criteria under which an osteopathic physician may exercise professional judgment in making the decision to delegate medical services.

Section 25.217(a) would establish the criteria under which delegation could occur.

Specifically, §25.217 (a)(1) would require that delegation be consistent with standards of acceptable medical practice. Standards of acceptable medical practice may be discerned from current

medical literature and texts, medical teaching facilities publications and faculty, expert practitioners in the field and the commonly accepted practice of practitioners experienced in the field.

Section 25.217 (a)(2) would prohibit an osteopathic physician from expanding the scope of practice of other health care practitioners where the General Assembly or the licensing board responsible for regulating the other health care practitioner has prohibited the performance of those services by the other health care practitioner. Section 25.217 (a)(3) would require the osteopathic physician to assure that the individual practitioner or technician to whom the delegation is being made has sufficient education, training, and competency so that the delegatee knows how to perform the service safely. Accordingly, the osteopathic physician would be obligated to determine whether the delegatee is competent to perform the procedure. This may be accomplished by determining whether the delegatee is licensed, certified or possesses documented education and training related to the service. The physician may choose to monitor the delegatee in order to become satisfied as to the delegatee's competence.

Section 25.217 (a)(4) would prohibit delegations when the particular patient presents with unusual complications, family history or condition so that the performance of the medical service poses a special risk to that particular patient. Unlike the other provisions, this provision directs the osteopathic physician's attention to the needs of the particular patient. A determination shall be made that the service may be rendered to the particular patient without undue risk. It is the physician's responsibility to make that assessment.

Section 25.217 (a)(5) would recognize that patients are autonomous and that consideration of patient autonomy and dignity is a responsibility of the osteopathic physician. Thus, it is the osteopathic physician's responsibility to assure that the patient is advised as to the nature of the medical service and the reason for the delegation, so that the patient might exercise his or her right to request the service be performed by the osteopathic physician. The primary relationship in the delivery of medical services is between the patient and the physician. The person in charge of this relationship is the patient. Communication with the patient and education of the patient is essential to the proper delivery of medical services, and a primary obligation of physicians.

Section 25.217(a)(6) would direct the osteopathic physician to provide the level of supervision and direction appropriate to the circumstance surrounding the delivery of the medical service. It underscores the fact that the osteopathic physician is ultimately responsible for the patient's well-being and requires the physician to maintain the level of involvement in the treatment process as required by Section 3 of the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act (63 P.S. §271.3).

Section 25.217 (b) would prohibit the delegation of a medical service when the service is sufficiently complicated, difficult or dangerous that it would require a degree of education and training possessed by osteopathic physicians, but not commonly possessed by non-physicians. Additionally, this section would prohibit delegation of medical services in situations when potential adverse reactions may not be readily apparent to an individual without physician training. These criteria are intended to prohibit the delegation of medical services when the delegation poses undue risk to patients generally.

Section 25.217 (c) would require the osteopathic physician to be sufficiently knowledgeable about the medical service so that the osteopathic physician is not merely a straw man. It should be axiomatic that the individual who has responsibility and authority for directing others in delivering medical services has the knowledge, ability and competency pertaining to the performance of those services.

Section 25.217 (d) reminds osteopathic physicians that they retain responsibility for the performance of the service whether they perform it themselves or direct another to do so.

Section 25.217 (e) would recognize the reality that emergencies arise when available health care personnel must immediately attend to patients, even though under nonemergency circumstances, the osteopathic physician would be the most appropriate person to care directly for the patient.

Section 25.217 (f) would recognize that licensed or certified health care practitioners have a scope of practice defined by statute and regulations. This proposed regulation is not intended to restrict or limit the performance of medical services that fall within the parameters established by law. Specific examples have been provided because of concerns that were expressed to the Board pertaining to those practitioners. They are provided as examples and are not intended to be allinclusive.

E. Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1

In accordance with Executive Order 1996-1 (February 6, 1996), in drafting and promulgating the regulation, the Board solicited input and suggestions from the regulated community and other parties who have identified themselves as interested in the Board's regulatory agenda. Only the Pennsylvania Society of Physician Assistants provided comments to the Board. The Society indicated its support of the regulation.

F. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

There is no adverse fiscal impact or paperwork requirement imposed on the Commonwealth, political subdivisions, or the private sector. Citizens of this Commonwealth will benefit in that this regulation promotes patient safety and welfare as a consideration in making medical service delegation decisions.

G. Sunset Date

The Board continuously monitors its regulations. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

H. Regulatory Review

Under Section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. §745.5(a)), on January 3, 2004, the Board submitted a copy of the notice of proposed rulemaking, published at 34 Pa.B.58, to IRRC, and the Chairpersons of the House Professional Licensure Committee (HPLC) and the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee (SCP/PLC) for review and comment.

Under section 5(c) of the Regulatory Review Act, IRRC, the HPLC and the SCP/PLC were provided with copies of the comments received during the public comment period, as well as other documents when requested. In preparing the final-form rulemaking, the Board has considered all comments from IRRC, the HPLC, the SCP/PLC and the public.

Under section 5.1(j.2) of the Regulatory	Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5a(j.2)), or	n
the final-form rulemaking was appr	coved by the HPLC. On	,_
the final-form rulemaking was deemed approved by	the SCP/PLC. Under section 5.1(e) of the	e
Regulatory Review Act, IRRC met on	, and approved the final-form rulemaking	₹.

I. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed regulation to Beth Sender Michlovitz, Board Counsel, P.O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 within 30 days following publication of the proposed regulation in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>. Please cite to "delegation of medical services" when submitting comments.

Thomas R. Czarnecki, D.O. Chairperson
State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

ANNEX A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE SUBPART A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS CHAPTER 25. STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 25.1 Definitions.

The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

* * * * *

Emergency medical services personnel – Individuals who deliver emergency medical services and who are regulated by the Department of Health under the Emergency Medical Services Act (35 P.S. §§ 6921-6938).

* * * * *

SUBCHAPTER D. MINIMUM STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

§25.217. Delegation.

(a) An osteopathic physician may delegate to a health care practitioner or technician the performance of a medical service if the following conditions are met:

(1) The delegation is consistent with the standards of acceptable medical practice embraced by the osteopathic physician community in this Commonwealth. Standards of acceptable medical practice may be discerned from current peer reviewed medical literature and texts, teaching facility practices and instruction, the practice of expert practitioners in the field and the commonly accepted practice of practitioners in the field.

- (2) The delegation is not prohibited by the statutes or regulations relating to the other health care practitioner.
- (3) The osteopathic physician has knowledge that the delegatee has education, training, experience and continued competency to safely perform the medical service being delegated.
- (4) The osteopathic physician has determined that the delegation to a health care practitioner or technician does not create an undue risk to the particular patient being treated.

(5) The nature of the service and the delegation of the service has been explained to the

patient and the patient does not object to the performance by the health care practitioner or

technician. Unless otherwise required by law the explanation may be oral and may be given

by someone other than the osteopathic physician THE OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN OR

THE OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN'S DESIGNEE.

(6) The osteopathic physician assumes the responsibility for the delegated medical service,

including the performance of the service, and is available to the delegatee as appropriate to

the difficulty of the procedure, the skill of the delegatee and risk to the particular patient.

(b) An osteopathic physician may not delegate the performance of a medical service if performance

of the medical service or if recognition of the complications or risks associated with the delegated

medical service requires knowledge and skill not ordinarily possessed by nonphysicians.

(c) An osteopathic physician may not delegate a medical service which the osteopathic physician is

not trained, qualified and competent to perform.

(d) An osteopathic physician shall be responsible for the medical services delegated to the health

care practitioner or technician.

(e) An osteopathic physician may approve a standing protocol delegating medical acts to another

health care practitioner who encounters a medical emergency that requires medical services for

3

June 3, 2004June 2, 2004

stabilization until the osteopathic physician or emergency medical services personnel are available to

attend to the patient.

(f) This section does not prohibit a health care practitioner who is licensed or certified by a

Commonwealth agency from practicing within the scope of that license or certificate or as otherwise

authorized by law. For example, this section is not intended to restrict the practice of certified

registered nurse anesthetists, nurse midwives, certified registered nurse practitioners, physician

assistants, or other individuals practicing under the authority of specific statutes or regulations.

4



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-4858

October 1, 2004

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14th Floor, Harristown 2, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

Re: Final Regulation

State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

Delegation of Medical Services: 16A-5312

Dear Chairman McGinley:

Enclosed is a copy of a final rulemaking package of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine pertaining to Delegation of Medical Services (16A-5312).

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Commission may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely

Thomas R. Czarneck D.O., Chairperson State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

TRC:BSM:lw Enclosure

c: Linda C. Barrett, Chief Counsel

Department of State

Basil L. Merenda, Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Cynthia Montgomery, Regulatory Counsel

Department of State

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Department of State

Beth Sender Michlovitz, Counsel

State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

State Board of Osteopathic Medicine

TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

I.D. NUMBER:	16A 5212
I.D. NUMBER:	16A-5312
SUBJECT:	State Board of Osteopathic Medicine - Osteopathic Physician - Delegation of Medical Services
AGENCY:	DEPARTMENT OF STATE
	TUDE OF BUCKLI ATION
Propo	TYPE OF REGULATION sed Regulation
/Final	Regulation
Final 1	Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted
120-da	ay Emergency Certification of the Attorney General
120-da	ay Emergency Certification of the Governor
Delive a.	ery of Tolled Regulation With Revisions b. Without Revisions
	FILING OF REGULATION
DATE SIGNA	ATURE DESIGNATION
10/1/04 San	Hay House committee on professional licensure
10/1/04 mary	Walnus SENATE COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER PROTECTION & PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE
10/1/01 Stark & 14	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION
	ATTORNEY GENERAL (for Final Omitted only)
	LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU (for Proposed only)