<b>Regulatory Ana</b>	This space for use by IREC		
그 그 눈 집에 들어 가 봐요? 그 옷을 하는 것을 물었다.		RECEIVED	
Form		2003 SEP - 9 AM 10: 44	
1) Agency	INDERCOLLES REDUCATORY REVIEW COMMISSION		
Department of State, Bureau of Profe Occupational Affairs, State Board of		- - 	
2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Us	e)		
16A-647	IRRC Number: 234		
(3) Short Title			
Deletion of Examination Fees			
(4) PA Code Cite	(5) Agency Contacts & To	elenhane Numbers	
4) FA Code Che	(5) Agency Contacts & T	ciopnone runnoers	
49 Pa. Code §§ 1.23 and 1.41	Primary Contact: Beth Sender Michlovitz, Counsel		
		ictioneer Examiners (717) 783-720 oyce McKeever, Deputy Chief	
	÷	rtment of State (717) 783-7200	
(6) Type of Rulemaking (check one)		Day Emergency Certification	
•	Attached?		
X Proposed Rulemaking	V No		
Final Order Adopting Regulation Final Order, Proposed Rulemaking	<u>X</u> No Yes: By	the Attorney General	
Omitted		the Governor	
(8) Briefly explain the regulation in cle	ar and nontechnical langua	ge.	
The proposed regulation eliminate auctioneer licensing examination. The organization and not by the Board.			
		•	
(9) State the statutory authority for the	regulation and any relevant	t state or federal court decisions.	
Section 812.1 of the Administrativ	uctioneer and Auction Lie	censing Act (Act), Act of Decembe 4.6, set forth the powers and dution	
22, 1983, P.L. 327, No. 85, <u>as amende</u>		15.	
279.3a and Sections 5 and 6 of the Au 22, 1983, P.L. 327, No. 85, <u>as amende</u> of the Board with regard to the admi		18	

(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.

The regulation is not mandated by any federal or state law, court order, or federal regulation.

(11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?

Examination fees are determined through a competitive bid and contracting process with a professional testing organization that administers the examination. Eliminating references to the current examination fee in the regulations will obviate the need to amend the regulations in the future should the examination fees be changed.

(12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.

There are no specific public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.

(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)

Applicants for licensure will benefit by not having potentially conflicting sources of information relating to examination fees. The Board will benefit because the regulation will eliminate the need to make future adjustments to its regulations should the fees be changed.

(14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adverse effects as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely affected.)

The Board has identified no groups or individuals who will be adversely affected by the regulation.

(15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the regulation. (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)

All applicants for auctioneer licensing are required to pay the fee set by the professional testing organization. This regulation does not affect the fee charged by the professional testing organization.

(16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.

The text of the proposed regulation was sent to Jeanie M. Crowl, Administrator, Pennsylvania Auctioneer's Association, Inc. for pre-draft commentary on October 11, 2002.

(17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

No specific costs or savings to the regulated community are anticipated. However, the general operational costs of the Board may be reduced by eliminating the need to make future amendments to the Board's regulations.

(18) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to local governments associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

There are no anticipated costs or savings to local government associated with this regulation.

(19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to state government associated with the implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required.

Because the Board's operational expenses are paid from license renewal fees, there are no anticipated costs or savings to state government associated with this regulation.

			Analysis Fo	and the second				
(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.								
	Current	FY +1	FY +2	FY +3	FY +4	FY +5		
SAVINGS:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<b>Regulated</b> Community								
Local Government								
State Government						1		
Total Savings								
COSTS:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Regulated Community								
Local Government			-			-		
State Government						1		
Total Costs	· [ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-		
<b>REVENUE LOSSES:</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Regulated Community								
Local Government		1		1	-			
State Government	1							
Total Revenue Losses		-						

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

N/A

Program	FY -3	FY -2	FY -1	Current FY
State Board of Auctioneer Examiners	\$385,355.33	\$162,878.19	\$157,961.09	\$187,000.00
		· · ·		
outweigh the advers	se effects and costs		plain how the benefit	-
will benefit becaus	e the Board will n		he regulation. The ake any future amen examination.	
	-			
			the costs associated	with those alternativ
Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa	l.		
Provide the reasons	s for their dismissais s are currently an	l.	l the costs associated d's regulations, no r	
Provide the reasons Because the fee	s for their dismissais s are currently an	l.		
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were c	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered.	l. nounced in the Boar	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were c	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an		nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were of (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so for their dismissal	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were of (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so for their dismissal	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were of (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so for their dismissal	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were of (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so for their dismissal	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory
Provide the reasons Because the fees alternatives were of (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons	s for their dismissa s are currently an considered. native regulatory so for their dismissal	l. nounced in the Boar chemes considered an	d's regulations, no i	nonregulatory

.

(24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation.

There are no federal standards relevant to the regulation.

(25) How does this regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states?

A survey was made of nearby states requiring statewide auction licensing. Ohio lists its fees by statute. North Carolina, Maine and Virginia list exam fees by regulation. Kentucky does not set its fees by regulation or statute.

This regulation will not put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states.

(26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.

The regulation will not affect any existing or proposed regulations of the Board or other state agencies.

(27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the dates, times, and locations, if available.

The Board meets in public session at least six times per year at 124 Pine Street in Harrisburg, PA. Comments from the public are always invited at the Board's meetings. The meeting dates for 2003 are as follows: January 13, 2003, March 10, 2003, May 12, 2003, July 7, 2003, September 8, 2003 and November 17, 2003.

(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements? Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of implementation, if available.

The regulation will not change any existing reporting, record keeping or other paperwork requirements.

(29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.

The Board has identified no particular groups or persons who will be affected by the regulation.

(30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained?

The regulation will be effective upon final publication in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>.

(31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.

The Board continuously reviews its regulations, periodically communicates with licensees through newsletters and obtains information and feedback from its licensees on a frequent basis.

CDL-1

#### 5 RECEIVED FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU 2003 SEP -9 AM 10: 44 REVIEW COMMISSION (Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law) #2361 DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulging d by: Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Attorney General Copy below is approved as to form and legality. Executive or Indepen Agencies. State Board of Auctioneer Examiners (AGENCY) ENERALI DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 16A-647 JUL 2 2 2003 13 30 DATE OF ADOPTION: DATE OF APPROVAL DATE OF APPROVAL BY : Executive (Deputy General Counsel counsel, Independent Agency

TITLE: Chairman (EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY) Strike inapplicable

title)

[ ] Check if applicable Copy not approved. Objections attached.

[ ] Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 day after submission.

> NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS 49 PA. CODE § 3.103 EXAM FEE DELETION

The State Board of Auctioneer Examiners (Board) proposes to amend 49 Pa. Code § 1.23 (relating to auctioneer licensure examination) and §1.41 (relating to fees) as set forth in Annex A. The proposed rulemaking deletes references to the fees charged by testing organizations from the schedule of fees for the examination for auctioneers and directs applicants for examination to apply and pay fees directly to the professional testing organization.

## Effective Date

The regulation is effective on final publication in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>.

## **Statutory Authority**

Section 812.1 of the Administrative Code, Act of April 9, 1929, P.L. 177, <u>as amended</u>, 71 P.S. § 279.3a, and Sections 5 and 6 of the Auctioneer and Auction Licensing Act (Act), Act of December 22, 1983, P.L. 327, No. 85, <u>as amended</u>, 63 P.S. §§734.5 and 734.6, set forth the powers and duties of the Board with regard to the administration of examinations.

## **Background and Need for Amendment**

## Provision and Submission of Applications for Examination

The proposed amendment to § 1.23 (relating to auctioneer licensure examination), reflects that applicants must apply to the professional testing organization, not the Board, to take the examination. The professional testing organization determines a candidate's eligibility to take the exam and administers the examination to eligible candidates. The Administrative Agency Law at 71 P.S. §279.3a requires that the auctioneer licensing examination be prepared and administered by a professional testing organization. This amendment to the Board's regulation clears confusion that may result from the existing regulation which directs candidates to apply to the Board to be tested.

## Elimination of References to Examination Fees

The proposed amendment to § 1.41 (relating to the schedule of fees), deletes the reference to the fee for the examination for auctioneers. This fee is set by the professional testing organization, not by the Board. To avoid the necessity of amending the regulation whenever the professional testing organization changes the fee, the Board proposes to delete the reference to the examination fee.

## **Description of Proposed Amendments**

The proposed rulemaking deletes references to the fee for the licensing examination. The fee is set by the professional testing organization. The rulemaking also clarifies that examination applicants should apply directly to the professional testing organization and not to the Board.

February 28, 2003 Preamble – Exam Fee Deletion 16A-647

## **Fiscal Impact**

The proposed amendment will have no fiscal impact on the Board or its licensees. The proposed amendment should have no fiscal impact on the private sector, the general public or political subdivisions.

## **Paperwork Requirements**

The proposed regulation will avoid preparation of new regulations each time that an examination fee is changed and should not create additional paperwork for the private sector.

#### Sunset Date

The Board monitors its regulations on a continuing basis. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

## **Regulatory Review**

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5(a)), the Board submitted a copy of this proposed regulation on September 9, 2003, to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC), the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee and the House Professional Licensure Committee. In addition to submitting the proposed rulemaking, the Board has provided IRRC and the committees with a copy of a detailed Regulatory Analysis Form prepared by the Board. A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P.S. § 745.5(g)), if IRRC has comments, recommendations or objections regarding any portion of the proposed rulemaking, it will notify the Board within 30 days of the close of the public comment period. The notification will specify the regulatory review criteria that have not been met. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review of comments, recommendations and objections by the Board, the General Assembly, and the Governor prior to publication of the regulations.

## **Public Comment**

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, recommendations or objections regarding this proposed rulemaking to Tammy Radel, Administrator, State Board of Auctioneer Examiners, P.O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649, within 30 days following publication of this proposed rulemaking in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

16A-647 Eliminate Exam Fee February 28, 2003

Annex A TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS PART 1. DEPARTMENT OF STATE Subpart A. Professional and Occupational Affairs Chapter 1. State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

\* \* \* \*

## LICENSURE

\* \* \* \*

### §1.23. Auctioneer licensure examination.

(a) The Board will [schedule] <u>have a professional testing organization conduct</u> the auctioneer licensure examination <u>at least</u> two times each year.

(b) The applicant for auctioneer's license shall apply to the <u>professional testing</u> organization designated by the Board for admission to the licensure examination and pay the fee <u>directly to that organization</u>.

\* \* \* \*

#### FEES

#### §1.41. Schedule of fees.



## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS Post Office Box 2649

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-3397

September 9, 2003

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, Harristown 2, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

> Re: Proposed Regulation State Board of Auctioneer Examiners 16A-647: Exam Fee Deletion

Dear Chairman McGinley:

RMS/BSM:kp

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners pertaining to exam fee deletion.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Commission may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Ralph m. Stavart

Ralph M. Stewart, Chairperson State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

Enclosure
c: Andrew Sislo, Chief Counsel Department of State
Scott J. Messing, Deputy Commissioner
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel
Department of State
Cynthia Montgomery, Regulatory Counsel
Department of State
Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge
Department of State
Beth Sender Michlovitz, Counsel
State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

## TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

I.D. NUMBE	ER: 16A-0647	]
SUBJECT:	State Board of Auctioneer Examiners - Exam Fee Deletion	
AGENCY:	DEPARTMENT OF STATE	
Х	TYPE OF REGULATION	
	Proposed Regulation Final Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted	D 1 C
		T
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor	PECEIVED
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor	
	Delivery of Tolled Regulation a. With Revisions b. Without Revisions	
DATE	FILING OF REGULATION	
		F
<u>9-9-03</u>	Sandia J. Happin HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL LICENSUR	L I
	SENATE COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER PROTECTION PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE	1&
9-9-63	Elina Paga independent regulatory review commission	ON
	ATTORNEY GENERAL (for Final Omitted only)	
<u> 1/5/03 (</u>	LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU (for Proposed on	ıly)
July 23, 2003	3	