|   |   |           | The same of the sa |  |
|---|---|-----------|--|--|
| <b>Regulatory Anal</b>  | vsis For  | rm        | This space for use by IRRC   |  |
| (1) Agency  | y <b>3.0</b> 1 <b>0.</b>  |           | 2021197 18 PM 2: 08  |  |
| (-)   |   |           | KEVIZW COMMISSION  |  |
| Treasury  |   |           |  |  |
| (2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Use)   | )   |           |  |  |
| 64–3  |   |           | IRRC Number: 23/8  |  |
| (3) Short Title   |   |           |  |  |
| Disposition of Abandoned a  | nd Unclaimed P  | roperty   | Act, 72 P.S. §1301. ret seq.   |  |
| (4) PA Code Cite  | (5) Agency Conta  | cts & Tek | ephone Numbers   |  |
| 61 PA. Code §951.8  | Primary Conta   |           | raldine Long, CPA<br>7-772-1480  |  |
| ,   | Secondary Con   | ntact: De | rrek Cummings, Esq.  |  |
| (6) Type of Rulemaking (check one)  | (6) Type of Rulemaking (check one) (7) Is a 120-Day Emergency Certification Attached? |           |  |  |
| Y Droposed Pulemelring  | (V)   | NJa       |  |  |
| X Proposed Rulemaking       X No         ☐ Final Order Adopting Regulation       Yes: By the Attorney General   |   |           |  |  |
| Final Order, Proposed Rulemaking Omitted Yes: By the Governor   |   |           | he Governor  |  |
| (8) Briefly explain the regulation in clear   | and nontechnical lan  | guage.    |  |  |
| Although holders of unclaimed property should maintain records to account for their liability, Treasury routinely encounters holders who maintain partial, or no records prior to an examination by Treasury. Therefore, it is necessary for Treasury to estimate this liability where holders cannot have their unclaimed property liability determined due to a lack of, or inadequate, record keeping. |   |           |  |  |
| (9) State the statutory authority for the regulation and any relevant state or federal court decisions.   |   |           |  |  |
|   | <b>,</b>  |           |  |  |
| 72 P.S. \$1301.26(b)  |   |           | •  |  |
|   |   |           |  |  |
|   |   |           |  |  |
|   |   |           |  |  |

| R | egu | latery | Ana | lysk | s Form |
|---|-----|--------|-----|------|--------|
|   |     |        |     |      |        |

(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.

Yes, 72 P.S. \$1301.26(b)

- (11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?

  The proposed rulemaking is necessary to encourage better record keeping regarding unclaimed property within the holder community to ensure that rightful owners are notified of their right to claim this property in the custody of holders.
- (12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.

  The risk associated with nonregulation includes a failure to maintain adequate records at the holder site to determine compliance with the Disposition of Abandoned and Unclaimed Property Act.

(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)

Citizens of Pennsylvania, as well as rightful owners located outside the state.

| Kegulatory Analysis Form  |
|---|
| (14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adverse effects as  |
| completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely affected.)  |
| There is no precieved adverse effect of this regulation, rather it will aid the Holder Community with compliance to the Disposition of Abandoned and Unclaimed Property Act.                        |
|   |
| (15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the regulation.  (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)                                  |
| Holder Community.   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| (16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.                 |
| Discussions on regulations were included with statewide Holder Seminars conducted since July 2002.  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| (17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required. |
| No fiscal impact.   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

| Regulatory Analysis Form   |
|--|
| (18) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to local governments associated with  |
| compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.  |
| None.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| $\cdot$  |
|  |
| (19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to state government associated with the   |
| implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be   |
| required.  |
| and the second s |
| None.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| ·  |
|  |
|  |
| · ·  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| ·  |

# **Regulatory Analysis Form**

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

|                      | Current FY<br>Year | FY +1<br>Year | FY +2<br>Year    | FY +3<br>Year                                    | FY +4<br>Year | FY +5<br>Year |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|--|---------------|---------------|
| SAVINGS:             | S                  | \$            | \$               | \$   | \$            | \$            |
| Regulated Community  |                    |               |                  |  |               |               |
| Local Government     | ,                  |               | N/A              | 1  |               |               |
| State Government     |                    | ,             |                  |  |               |               |
| Total Savings        |                    |               |                  | <del> </del>                                     |               | i             |
| COSTS:               |                    |               | ,                |  | <u> </u>      |               |
| Regulated Community  |                    |               |                  |  |               | 1.            |
| Local Government     |                    |               | N/A              | <u> </u>   |               |               |
| State Government     |                    |               |                  |  | 1 .           |               |
| Total Costs          |                    |               |                  |  |               |               |
| REVENUE LOSSES:      |                    | 1             |                  |  |               |               |
| Regulated Community  | 1                  | <del> </del>  |                  | <del> </del>                                     |               |               |
| Local Government     |                    |               | N/A              | <u> </u>   | <del> </del>  |               |
| State Government     |                    |               | † _**/* <u>*</u> |  |               |               |
| Total Revenue Losses |                    |               |                  | <del>                                     </del> |               |               |

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

Proposed regulations are expected to produce gains, however, these gains are not possible to project.

|                                     | Regi                 | ulatory Analysis        | Form                  |                         |  |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 20b) Provide the pa                 | st three year expend | iture history for progr | ams affected by the   | regulation.             |  |
| Program FY -3 FY -2 FY -1 Current I |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      | N/A                     |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      | ·                       |                       |                         |  |
| 21) I Iring the goot                | hanasia insamatian n |                         | - how the honofts o   | Etha maulation          |  |
| utweigh the adverse                 |                      | provided above, explain | n now the beneatts o  | r the regulation        |  |
|                                     |                      | associated with         | the regulation,       | only                    |  |
| anticipated be                      | enefits.             |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         | •                     |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      | ives considered and th  | e costs associated w  | with those alternatives |  |
| Provide the reasons                 |                      | would be self re        | aculation by Hol      | lders. This             |  |
|                                     |                      | inadequate in the       |                       |                         |  |
|                                     | _                    |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      |                         |                       |                         |  |
|                                     |                      | emes considered and the | he costs associated v | with those schemes.     |  |
| Provide the reasons                 | for their dismissal. | emes considered and the |                       | •                       |  |
| Provide the reasons                 | for their dismissal. |                         |                       | •                       |  |
| Provide the reasons                 | for their dismissal. |                         |                       | •                       |  |

| Regulatory Analysis Form   |
|--|
| (24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the specific  |
| provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation.  |
| No federal regulations apply.  |
|  |
|  |
| $\cdot$  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| (25) How does this regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states?              |
| This regulation is very similar, and in some instances identical to  |
| other states. It will not put Pennsylvania at a disadvantage.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| ····   |
| (26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations. |
| N.   |
| No.  |
|  |
| ·  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| (27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the dates, times, and locations, if available.                                  |
| No meetings required or scheduled.   |
|  |
| ·  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

| (28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements?   | Į   |
|--|-----|
| Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of   | - 1 |
| implementation, if available.  | l   |
| The proposed rulemaking should not require any legal requirements, or create any additional accounting, reporting or other paperwork not currently being performed by Treasury during its examinations of holders.   |     |
| to the positional by freederly define its examinations of horders.   |     |
|  | ı   |
|  | Į   |
|  |     |
|  | - 1 |
| (29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affector groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.  No special provision created.   | ;d  |
|  |     |
|  | - 1 |
|  | l   |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
| (30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained?  The proposed rulemaking will be effective upon publication of final | •   |
| form rulemaking in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
| ·  |     |
|  |     |
| (31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.  |     |
| Monitored on regular basis and updated as needed.  |     |
| tion regard basis and updated as needed.   |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |

Page 8 of 8

**Regulatory Analysis Form** 

# FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

2002 NOV 18 FN 2: 38

|  | #2318  | DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE  |
|--|--|---|
| Copy below is hereby approved as to form any legality. Attorney Ganeral                  | Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and corr of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by:  Treasury Department (AGENCY) | form and legality. Executive or Independent Agencies.  By: Wheth J. Sumul                       |
| (DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL)  10 2 2012  DATE OF APPROVAL                                   | DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 64-3  | Robert J. Schwartz & Chief Counsel  ### Chief Counsel  ### DATE OF APPROVAL                     |
| DATE OF AFFRONAL   | Barbara Hafer  | (Chief Counsel, Independent Agency) (Strike inapplicable title)                                 |
| <ul> <li>Check if applicable</li> <li>Copy not approved, Objections attached.</li> </ul> | TITLE: State Treasurer  (EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETA  | Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 days after submission. |

Treasury Department
Bureau of Unclaimed Property
61 PA Code Ch 951 §951.8
Estimation Calculations
Proposed Regulation

## PROPOSED RULEMAKING

# [61 PA. CODE CH. 951]

### **Estimation Calculations for Determining Unclaimed Property Liability**

| [ | Pa.B. |  |
|---|-------|--|
|---|-------|--|

The Pennsylvania Treasury Department (Treasury) proposes to add Section 951.8 (pertaining to estimation calculations) to read as set forth in Annex A. This proposed rulemaking sets forth the estimation procedures to be used by Treasury where a holder of unclaimed property has insufficient records to determine its actual amount of liability.

Effective Date

The proposed rulemaking will be effective upon publication of final-form rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

Statutory Authority

Pursuant to Section 1301.26(b) of the Disposition of Abandoned and Unclaimed Property Act (Act), 72 P.S. §§ 1301.1 et seq., Treasury is required to promulgate regulations regarding estimation calculations that Treasury may use, pursuant to Section 1301.23(b), if a holder of unclaimed property has insufficient records to conduct an examination as authorized under Section 1301.23(a) of the Act.

#### Background and Purpose

Although holders of unclaimed property should maintain records to account for their liability, Treasury routinely encounters holders who maintain partial, or no records prior to an examination by Treasury. Therefore, it is necessary for Treasury to estimate this liability where holders cannot have their unclaimed property liability determined due to a lack of, or inadequate, record keeping.

#### Description of Proposed Amendments

The proposed rulemaking would add Section 951.8 to authorize Treasury to apply estimation calculations where records are insufficient to conduct an examination as authorized under Section 1301.23 of the Act.

The proposed rulemaking is necessary to encourage better record keeping regarding unclaimed property within the holder community to ensure that rightful owners are notified of their right to claim this property in the custody of holders.

The proposed rulemaking is limited in its application and would not be authorized where records are available to conduct an examination by Treasury.

#### Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirement

The proposed rulemaking will have no fiscal impact on the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. Furthermore, the proposed rulemaking is not anticipated to require any legal requirements, or create any additional accounting, reporting or other paperwork not currently being performed by Treasury during its examinations of holders.

#### Sunset Date

Treasury continuously monitors the cost effectiveness of its regulations and, therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

#### Regulatory Review

Under Section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (RRA), 71 P.S. §§ 745.1 et seq., on November 18, 2002, Treasury submitted a copy of this proposed rulemaking to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and the Chairpersons of the House and Senate Standing Committees (Committees). Also, Treasury has prepared and provided IRRC and the Committees with a detailed Regulatory Analysis Form (RAF). A copy of this RAF is available to the public upon request.

Under Section 5(g) of the RRA, if IRRC has objections to any portion of the proposed rulemaking, it will notify Treasury within ten (10) days of the close of the Committees' review period. The notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria that have not been met by the portion of the proposed rulemaking to which an objection is made. The RRA specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the rulemaking, by Treasury the General Assembly and the Governor of objections raised.

#### Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed rulemaking to Ms. Carole Huberman-Talerico, CPA, Director, Pennsylvania Treasury Department, Bureau of Unclaimed Property, Riverfront Office Center, 1101 South Front Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17104.

BARBARA HAFER State Treasurer

#### Annex A

#### TITLE 61. REVENUE

#### PART VIII. TREASURY DEPARTMENT

#### CHAPTER 951. ABANDONED AND UNCLAIMED PROPERTY

#### § 951.8. Estimation calculations.

A holder subject to an examination of records as provided for in section 1301.23(a) of The Fiscal Code (72 P.S. § 1301.23) is required to comply with requests by the State Treasurer or an authorized third party to make records available for examination.

Where no holder's records exist or where a holder's records are insufficient for examination, the State Treasurer may apply sampling and estimation procedures to determine a holder's liability. Sampling and estimation procedures shall be applied in accordance with standards of the American Institute of Certified Public Accounts (AICPA) and the United States General Accounting Office (USGAO).



#### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF THE TREASURER HARRISBURG, PA 17120-0018

BARBARA HAFER TREASURER November 15, 2002

Mary S. Wyatte, Esquire Chief Counsel Independent Regulatory Review Commission Harristown 2, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Ms. Wyatte:

The Treasury Department is submitting a proposed rulemaking to the Disposition of Abandoned and Unclaimed Property Act, 72 P.S. §§ 1301.01-1301.23. Also enclosed is the Regulatory Analysis Form.

The proposed rulemaking would add Section 951.8 to 61 Pa. Code Ch. 951, authorizing Treasury to apply estimation calculations where records are insufficient to conduct an examination as authorized under Section 1301.23 of the Act.

If you need additional information or have any questions, please feel free to contact Derrek W. Cummings, Associate Counsel, of my staff at (717) 787-0197.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Schwartz

**Chief Counsel** 

RJS:aln

**Enclosures** 

# TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

| I.D. NUMBER   | R: 64-3   |  |
|---------------|---|--|
| SUBJECT:      | Estimation Calculation                          | ns for Determining Unclaimed Property Liabilty |
| AGENCY:       | Treasury Department                             |  |
| Х             | Proposed Regulation                             | PE OF REGULATION                               |
|               | Final Regulation                                |  |
|               | -   | of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted                 |
|               | 120-day Emergency Certificati                   | •  |
|               | 120-day Emergency Certificati                   | ion of the Governor                            |
|               | Delivery of Tolled Regulation a. With Revisions |  |
|               |   |  |
| 41, 11, 11    | FILIT   | NG OF REGULATION                               |
| DATE          | SIGNATURE                                       | DESIGNATION                                    |
| 1112/02<br>NK | Johaffner                                       | HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS              |
| Xs/           |   | SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE A HESS             |
| 11/8/00       | Clena Pasañ                                     | INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION       |
|               |   | ATTORNEY GENERAL                               |
| 14/19/2 C.    | Le Em   | LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU                   |