| Regulation Air   | alvysis at   | This space for use by IRRC                                  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Form   |  |   |  |
| (1) Agency   |  | 2000 00T 00 ANN: 4.1  |  |
|  |  | Realing Communication                                       |  |
| Department of State, Bureau of Profe<br>Occupational Affairs, State Board of   |  | 4)  |  |
| (2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Us  | se)  |   |  |
| 16A-4910   |  | IRRC Number: $2/6/$   |  |
| (3) Short Title  |  |   |  |
| Fees   |  |   |  |
| (4) PA Code Cite   | (5) Agency Contacts & Te   | elephone Numbers  |  |
| 49 Pa. Code §§16.13, 16.13a, 16.17,<br>18.303  | 7, Primary Contact: Gerald S. Smith, Counsel, State Board of Medicine (717) 783-7200 |   |  |
|  | •  | oyce McKeever, Deputy Chief<br>nent of State (717) 783-7200 |  |
| (6) Type of Rulemaking (check one)   | (7) Is a 120-D<br>Attached?  | Day Emergency Certification                                 |  |
| X Proposed Rulemaking  | V M  |   |  |
| Final Order Adopting Regulation Final Order, Proposed Rulemaking   | X No<br>Yes: By t  | the Attorney General  |  |
| Omitted  | Yes: By t  | <del>-</del>  |  |
| (8) Briefly explain the regulation in cle  | ear and nontechnical langua  | ge.   |  |
| The regulation amends the fee sch<br>processing of applications for the var<br>certification of scores and certification<br>current fees established in 1987, 1991<br>enumerated services. | rious licenses, certificates a<br>on of license history. The                         | new fees are needed because the                             |  |
| (9) State the statutory authority for the  The regulatory amendments are a   |  |   |  |
| December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, as ame  | -  | i the Medical I factice Act, Act of                         |  |

| Regulatory/Analysis Form  |
|---|
| (10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.   |
| Yes. The Board is required by statute to adopt regulations setting fees. See Item No. 9 for the specific law.   |
| ·   |
|   |
| (11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?  |
| The enabling statute of the Board requires that the Board set fees by regulation so that revenues meet or exceed expenditures over a biennial period. The operating expenses of the Board are generally borne by the licensee population through biennial renewal revenue. Expenses related to services which are provided directly to individual licensees or applicants are excluded from general operating revenues so that only the licensee who uses a particular service pays for a service being provided to him or her. By this regulation, the cost of providing the service will be apportioned to users, rather than burdening the entire licensee population. |
|   |
| (12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.   |
| Nonregulation could potentially adversely impact the fiscal integrity of the Board. If left unregulated, the costs of providing services would be borne by the general licensing population, some of whom did not or would not receive a benefit from the service.  |
|   |
| (13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)  |
| The licensing population generally will benefit by having costs of services which are utilized by only a portion of the licensees or applicants paid by those actually receiving the service.   |

| (14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adversary)  | rse effects as |
|---|----------------|
| completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely   | affected.)     |
| The Board has identified no group of individuals or entities who will be adverted the regulation. Applicants for services or licenses will be required to bear up-to-providing the services involved. |                |
| (15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the reg (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)   | ulation.       |
| See attached fee report forms.  |                |

(16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.

These regulations do not place requirements on licensees concerning their conduct or compliance with state law regarding the performance of a licensing duty under licensing statutes. The regulation embodies the fees which capture the cost of providing the service an applicant or licensee requests. Therefore, the information requested in this item is not applicable.

(17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

See attached fee report forms. Only those requesting the services will be affected. No legal, accounting or consulting procedures will be implicated in complying with the regulatory amendments.

|                               | Regulatory Analysis Form   | The state of the s |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                               | ate of the costs and/or savings to local governments asso<br>gal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be r       |  |
| computation, morading any log | ,, accounting of commutaing procedures without may be i  | oquirou.   |
| Local governments would       | d not be affected by this regulation.  |  |
|                               | ·  |  |
|                               |  | •  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  | ·  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  | į  |
| <i>*</i>                      |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               | ate of the costs and/or savings to state government assocition, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procession, |  |
|                               | an increase in administrative cost by implementing adments will permit the Board to recoup the costs of                    |  |
|                               |  |  |
| •                             |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  | ٠  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |
|                               |  |  |

# Regulatory Analysis Form

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

|                      | Current FY | FY +1    | FY +2  | FY +3  | FY +4        | FY +5        |
|----------------------|------------|----------|--------|--|--------------|--------------|
| SAVINGS:             | \$         | \$       | \$     | \$   | \$           | \$           |
| Regulated            |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| Local Government     |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| State Government     |            |          |        |  |              | <del> </del> |
| Total Savings        |            | <u> </u> | -      |  |              |              |
| COSTS:               |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| Regulated            | , 96,100   | 96,100   | 96,100 | 96,100   | 96,100       | 96,100       |
| Local Government     |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| State Government     |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| <b>Total Costs</b>   |            |          |        |  |              | <b> </b>     |
| REVENUE LOSSES:      | N/A        | N/A      | N/A    | N/A  | N/A          | N/A          |
| Regulated            |            |          |        | <del>                                     </del> | <del> </del> |              |
| Local Government     |            |          |        |  |              |              |
| State Government     |            |          |        |  | +            |              |
| Total Revenue Losses |            |          |        |  | 1            |              |

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

The cost estimates are based upon the number of persons which the Board estimates will avail themselves of the specified service over a fiscal year (one-half biennium) period multiplied by the savings or additional cost to the applicant for the services. See attached fee report forms for details.

|  |  | ulatory Analysis                               |                                       |                                       |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 20b) Provide the pas   | st three year expen  | diture history for prog                        | grams affected by the                 | ne regulation.                        |
| Program  | FY -3  | FY -2  | FY -1                                 | Current FY                            |
| ee attached fee  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
| eport forms  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
| <u> </u>   |  |  |                                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
| -  |  | provided above, expl                           | ain how the benefit                   | is of the regulation                  |
| tweigh the adverse   | effects and costs.   |  |                                       |                                       |
| •  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
| -  |  | 7 44   | 41 4 41                               |                                       |
| The amendments   | to the existing re   | gulations will assure                          | that the costs of p                   | providing the specific                |
| missa to santain a   | nalicents and lies   | nesse will be horne b                          | v individuale who                     | receive the service                   |
| ervices to certain a   | ppiicants and lice   | ensees will be borne b                         | iy individuais who                    | receive the service.                  |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  | •  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
|  |  |  | 1                                     |                                       |
|  |  |  |                                       |                                       |
| 22) Describe the no  | nregulatory alterna  | atives considered and                          | me cosis associated                   | MITTI MOSE STIETHAMA                  |
|  |  | atives considered and                          | ine cosis associated                  | willi those afternativ                |
|  |  | atives considered and                          | ine costs associated                  | i willi liiose aiteiliativ            |
|  |  | atives considered and                          | me cosis associated                   | wim mose atternativ                   |
| rovide the reasons f   | or their dismissal.  |  |                                       |                                       |
| rovide the reasons f   | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| rovide the reasons f   | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| rovide the reasons f   | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  |  | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.<br>y alternatives wei  | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab                    |                                       |
| Provide the reasons f  No nonregulator he Board to promu   | or their dismissal. y alternatives were ligate regulations   | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons f  No nonregulator he Board to promu   | or their dismissal. y alternatives were ligate regulations   | re considered becaus                           | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons f  No nonregulator he Board to promu  (23) Describe altern   | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  ative regulatory sc                       | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons for No nonregulator the Board to promute (23) Describe altern  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  ative regulatory sc                       | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| No nonregulator he Board to promu  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons f  No nonregulator he Board to promu  (23) Describe altern   | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons for No nonregulator he Board to promute the Board to promute 23) Describe alternative reasons for the reas | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| No nonregulator he Board to promu  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons for No nonregulator he Board to promute the Board to promute 23) Describe alternative reasons for the reas | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| No nonregulator he Board to promu  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| No nonregulator he Board to promu  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| No nonregulator he Board to promu  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons for No nonregulator the Board to promute (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons for the  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |
| Provide the reasons f  No nonregulator the Board to promu  (23) Describe altern Provide the reasons f  | or their dismissal.  y alternatives were ligate regulations  attive regulatory so for their dismissal. | re considered becaus<br>to establish fees or c | e the Board's enab<br>hanges thereto. | oling statute require                 |

| Regulatory/Analysis/Form   | <b>1</b> 00 30. |
|--|-----------------|
| (24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the   | he              |
| specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation  | •               |
| No federal licensure standards apply.  |                 |
| 110 lederar needsure standards appry.  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
| ·  |                 |
|  |                 |
| (25) How does this regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put Per at a competitive disadvantage with other states?                                     | ınsylvania      |
| See the Comparison of Medical Fees on page 9. It should be noted that Pennsylvania's   | s fees are      |
| generally much less than surrounding states. However, the fees established by the regula   |                 |
| represent the Board's actual costs in providing the services requested.  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
| (26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.                     | other           |
| This regulation will have no affect on other regulations of the Board or other agencies  | i.              |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
| (27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the da and locations, if available.   | tes, times,     |
| The Board reviews its regulatory proposals at regularly scheduled public meetings ea   | ch month.       |
| Meetings are held in the Board's offices at 116-124 Pine Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvani meeting dates are posted on the internet and are available by calling the Board office at |                 |
| (717) 783-1400.  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |
|  |                 |

| (28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements?  Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of   |
|--|
| Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of   |
|  |
| implementation, if available.  |
|  |
| No changes to reporting, record keeping or other paperwork is required by this regulation.   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| (20) Places list any analish nervisions which have been developed to most the nerticular mode of   |
| (29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of  |
| affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.  |
| iaimeis.   |
| The Board has perceived no special needs of any subset of its licensees for whom special   |
| provisions should be made.   |
| L. c. mione anome no muno.   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| (30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the   |
| regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must  |
| be obtained?   |
|  |
| The regulation will be effective upon publication in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| TO A TO A THE STANDARD A SECOND AS A SECON |
| (31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.  |
|  |
| The Board reviews its revenues and costs of its programs on a fiscal year and biennial basis.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

#### COMPARISON OF MEDICAL FEES.xls

| FEE COMPARISON                             |                       |                       |          |             |                    |                |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|
| MEDICAL                                    |                       |                       |          | <del></del> |                    |                |
| bold = proposed                            | PA                    | NJ                    | NY(1)    | MD          | ОН                 | DE(2)          |
| Biennial renewal fee                       | 125                   | 160 to 565            | 330(tri) | 150 to 525  | 275                | 204(pro-rated) |
| Unrestricted MD Lic/Accred. Sch.           | 35                    | 325*                  | 735(la)  | 790         | 335                | see note 2     |
| Unrestricted MD Lic/Non-Accred. Sch.       | 85                    | 325*                  | same     | 890         | 335                |                |
| Extraterritorial Lic                       | 30                    |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| Grad Lic/Accred. Sch                       | 30                    | 50                    |          | 100         | 75 if unlic. In OH | <del> </del>   |
| Grad Lic/Non-Accred. Sch                   | 85                    |                       |          | 100         | 75 if unlic. In OH |                |
| Temp Lic                                   | 45(if intv. req'd)    | 50 to 80              | 70-105   | 300         | 125                |                |
| Interim Lic                                | 30                    |                       |          | 300         | 125                |                |
| Midwife                                    | 30                    | 165                   | 295      | n/a         | 100                |                |
| Phys Asst                                  | 30                    | 125 app + renewal fee | 115      | 450         | 100                |                |
| Reg/Phys. Supv.                            | 35                    |                       |          | 200         | 75                 | •              |
| Each Add'l Supv                            | 25                    |                       |          | 100         | 25                 |                |
| Satellite Location                         | 25                    |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| Acupuncture Reg                            | 30                    | No response from NJ   | 750      | 450         | new-regs not final |                |
| Acupuncture Supv. Reg                      | 30                    |                       |          |             | new-regs not final |                |
| Inactive Status                            | 0                     |                       |          | 50          |                    |                |
| Resp. Care Temp. Permit                    | 25                    | 40                    | 70       | 150         | 20                 |                |
| Resp. Care Init. Cert                      | 15                    | 125                   | 270      | 150         | 30-75(pro-rated)   |                |
| Rad Tech App                               | 25                    |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| Certify Scores/License/Permit              | 25                    | -                     |          | n/a         | ·                  |                |
| Verify License/Permit/Reg/Cert             | 15                    | -                     |          | 50          |                    |                |
| Late fee (any application)                 | \$5/per mo            | \$100 (after 30 days) | 50       | 50          | 15-120             |                |
| Reinstatement Fee                          |                       | 100 to 175            |          | 325 to 650  | ,                  |                |
| Dup. Lic. Fee                              | 5                     | 50                    |          |             |                    |                |
| Name and/or Addr Change w/Dup Lic          |                       | 50                    |          |             |                    |                |
| Failure to notify of name/addr change      |                       |                       |          | 50          |                    |                |
| *does not include registration fee of \$2  | 40 due at time of lic | ensure.               |          |             |                    |                |
| (1)NY not req'd to be financially self-suf | ficient               |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| (1a) includes 1st yr registration fee      |                       |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| (2)DE has \$25 app fee-renewal fees        |                       |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| est. by Dir-Dept. of Prof. Regulation      |                       |                       |          |             |                    |                |
| prior to start of each cycle.              |                       |                       |          |             |                    |                |

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

#### Medical license without restriction-graduates of accredited U.S. or Canadian medical schools: \$35.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$122,500.00

 $(3,500 \text{ applications } \times \$35.00)$ 

# **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to every applicant for medical license without restriction who graduated from an accredited US or Canadian medical school.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process an application for a medical license without restriction for graduates of accredited U.S. or Canadian medical schools and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.75hr)               | 19.83         |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   | •                     | <u> 15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$35.70       |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$35.00       |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$35.00 be established to review an application for a medical license without restriction for graduates of accredited US or Canadian medical schools.

# Page 2 -MD w/o Restriction for grad. of accred. US or Can. med. schools: 06/30/00

Board Staff: Review application for completeness, verify that supporting documents are attached, contact candidate to request any missing information. Notify applicant either by issuing registration through the computer or issuing a rejection notice.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

## Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

# Medical license w/o restriction-graduates of non-accredited medical schools: \$85.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$102,000.00

(1,200 applications x \$85.00)

# Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant for medical license without restriction who graduated from a non-accredited school.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process an application for a medical license without restriction for graduates of non-accredited medical schools and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- prepare application         | ( .50 hr)             | 13.22        |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| Education Evaluator-process application | (2.00 hr)             | <b>52.89</b> |
| Administrative Overhead:                |                       | 15.87        |
|   | Total Estimated Cost: | \$81.98      |
|   | Proposed Fee:         | \$85.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$85.00 be established to review an application for a medical license without restriction for graduates of non-accredited medical schools.

#### Page 2 -MD w/o Restriction for grad. of non-accredited medical schools: 06/30/00

Board Staff: Reviews application for completeness, verifies that supporting documents are attached, contacts candidate to request any missing information; passes application to education evaluator who reviews qualifications to determine compliance with regulations, notifies applicant either by issuing registration through the computer or issuing a rejection notice.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee: Extraterritorial License:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$1,500.00

(50 apps. x \$30.00)

## **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for processing an extraterritorial license application.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for an Extraterritorial License and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 11.60        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | <u>15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$27.47      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate an extraterritorial license application.

# Page 2 Extraterritorial License Application Fee: 06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

#### Application Fee-Initial Training License for Graduates of accredited U.S. or Canadian medical schools: \$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$90,000.00

(3,000 apps. x \$30.00)

# **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for a Graduate Training License (Initial) License who graduated from an accredited U.S. or Canadian medical school.

# **Fee Objective:**

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for an initial Graduate Training License for graduates of an accredited U.S. or Canadian medical school (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

## Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- review and process application

(.50 hr)

13.22 15.87

Administrative Overhead:

**Total Estimated Cost:** 

\$29.09

Proposed Fee:

\$30.00

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate application for an initial Graduate Training License for graduates of accredited U.S. or Canadian medical schools.

Page 2 Application Fee-Initial Training License for Graduates of accredited U.S. or Canadian medical schools: Date: 06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

#### Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

# Application Fee-Initial Training License for Graduates of non-accredited medical schools: \$85.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$85,000.00

(1,000 apps. x \$85.00)

#### **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for an initial Graduate Training License who graduated from a non-accredited medical school.

# **Fee Objective:**

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for an initial Graduate Training License for graduates of non-accredited medical schools (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- prepare application           | ( .50 hr)             | 13.22         |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|
| Education Evaluator - process application | (2.00 hr)             | 52.89         |
| Administrative Overhead:                  |                       | <u> 15.87</u> |
| •   | Total Estimated Cost: | \$81.96       |
|   | Proposed Fee:         | \$85.00       |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$85.00 be established to evaluate application for an initial Graduate Training License for graduates of non-accredited medical schools.

#### Page 2 Application-Initial Training License-Graduates of non-accredited medical schools:

Date: 06/30/00

**Board Staff:** Reviews application for completeness, verifies that supporting documents are attached, contacts candidate to request any missing information; passes application to education evaluator who reviews qualifications to determine compliance with regulations, notifies applicant either by issuing registration through computer or prepares letter of rejection.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

#### Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application Fee-Temporary License-Interview Required: \$45.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$900.00

(20 apps. x \$45.00)

# Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to each applicant for a temporary license when an interview is required.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for a temporary license and when an interview is required (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 13.22        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Board-review qualifications/vote           | (.03 hr)              | 16.17        |
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | <u>15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$45.26      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$45.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$45.00 be established to evaluate application for a temporary license when an interview is required.

#### Page 2 Temporary License/Interview Required: 06/30/00

**Board Staff:** Reviews application for completeness, verifies that supporting documents are attached, contacts candidate to request any missing information. Confers by phone with a board member to discuss qualifications, prepares for board vote by placing recommendation on the agenda, issues approval or prepares letter of rejection following board vote.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee-Interim Limited License:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$6,000.00

(200 apps. x \$30.00)

#### Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to each applicant for an Interim Limited License.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for an Interim Limited License and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 10.15        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   | •                     | <u>15.87</u> |
| •  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$26.02      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate application for a Interim Limited License.

# Page 2 Interim Limited License: 06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee-Midwife License:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$1,950.00

(65 apps. x \$30.00)

# **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for a Midwife License.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for a Midwife License and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)                          | 10.15         |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   | • •                               | <u> 15.87</u> |
| •  | TO A 1 TO A STORY AND A CONTRACT. | P26 02        |

\$26.02 Total Estimated Cost: \$30.00 Proposed Fee:

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate application for a Midwife License.

Page 2

Midwife License:

06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee-Physician Assistant Registration:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$12,000.00

(400 apps. x \$30.00)

# **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for a Physician Assistant Registration.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for a Physician Assistant Registration and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 11.60         |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | <u> 15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$27.47       |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00       |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate an application for a Physician Assistant Registration.

# Page 2 Physician Assistant Registration: 06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application Fee-Physician Assistant Supervisor Registration:

Covers processing of basic application consisting of one (1) Physician Assistant, one (1) Primary Supervisor, one (1) Substitute Supervisor, and one (1) Written Agreement and one (1) Drug list.

\$25.00 for EACH additional Primary or Substitute Supervisor listed on application

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$36,375.00

(325 apps. x \$35.00 plus 1,000 additional primary or substitutes x \$25.00)

#### **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for registration as a physician assistant supervisor.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for registration as a physician assistant supervisor and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 17.39        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   | • •                   | <u>15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$33.26      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$35.00      |

**PLUS** 

\$25.00 for each additional Primary or Substitute Supervisor listed on the application.

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that fees as noted be established to evaluate an application for registration as a physician assistant supervisor.

#### Page 2 Physician Assistant Supervisor Registration: 06/30/00

Board Staff: receives application plus attachments including written agreement and drug list, contacts applicant to request any missing information. Reviews application and drug list for compliance with regulations, verifies status of MD and Supervising Physician (if applicable) licenses of applicant and of substitute by checking computer to ascertain that licenses are in good standing, enters information into computer system. If application lists more than one Supervisor (back-up or alternate), verifies accuracy of fees, verifies status of additional personnel as described above. Reviews written agreement to ensure that duties are clearly delineated and that primary and substitute supervisors (if applicable) and assignment locations are specific and in compliance with Board regulations. If all requirements are met, issues approval through computer. If discrepancies exist, prepares letter detailing deficiencies and sends to applicant.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee-Satellite Location Approval:** 

\$25.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$2,500.00

(100 apps. x \$25.00)

## **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for approval of a Satellite Location.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for approval of a Satellite Location and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

# Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.25 hr)              | 5.80          |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | <u> 15.87</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$21.67       |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$25.00       |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$25.00 be established to evaluate an application for approval of a Satellite Location.

## Page 2 Application Fee - Satellite Location Approval:

06/30/00

Board Staff: receives application plus attachments, contacts applicant to request any missing information. Verifies that physician assistant supervisor application on file (which includes the written agreement and drug list) is current and applicable for practice in the satellite location; evaluates agreement(s) for compliance with regulations. If all requirements are met, issues approval through computer. If discrepancies exist, prepares letter detailing deficiencies and sends to applicant.

1

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

#### Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application Fee-Acupuncturist Registration:

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$1,050.00

(35 apps. x \$30.00)

## Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to each applicant for an Acupuncturist Registration.

#### Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for a Acupuncturist Registration and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 11.60        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | <u>15.87</u> |
| ,  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$27.47      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate application for a Acupuncturist Registration.

# Page 2 Acupuncturist Registration:

06/30/00

**Board Staff:** Reviews application for completeness, verifies that supporting documents are attached, contacts candidate to request any missing information. Issues approval through computer or prepares letter of rejection.

ŗ

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

#### Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Application Fee-Acupuncturist Supervisor Registration:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$1,050.00

(35 apps. x \$30.00)

## **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to each applicant for an Acupuncturist Supervisor Registration.

## Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for a Acupuncturist Supervisor Registration and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 11.60   |
|--|-----------------------|---------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | 15.87   |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$27.47 |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00 |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to evaluate application for a Acupuncturist Supervisor Registration.

# Page 2 Acupuncturist Supervisor Registration:

06/30/00

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

**Respiratory Care Temporary Permit Application:** 

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$450.00

 $(15 \text{ applications } \times \$30.00)$ 

# Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant for a respiratory care practitioner temporary permit.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process an application for a respiratory care practitioner temporary permit and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### **Fee-Related Activities and Costs:**

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50/ hr)             | 10.15        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Averaged Administrative Overhead:          |                       | <u>15.39</u> |
| •  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$25.54      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to review a respiratory care practitioner temporary permit application.

## Page 2 - Respiratory Care Practitioner Temporary Permit 06/30/00

Board Staff: Review application for completeness, verify that supporting documents are attached, contact candidate to request any missing information. Notify applicant either by issuing registration through the computer or issuing a rejection notice. The Administrative Overhead charge has been averaged for the Medical and Osteopathic Boards since the application process is identical for both boards.

#### **FEE REPORT FORM**

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: June 30, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

### Application Fee for Radiology(ARRT) Examination: \$25.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$2,500.00

(100 applications x \$25.00)

### **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to every applicant for the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists Examination.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board Medicine to review and process an application for the ARRT and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### **Fee-Related Activities and Costs:**

| Staff time- review and process examination application (.25 hr) |                       |              |  |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| Averaged Administrative Ovhd:                                   |                       | <u>17.24</u> |  |
| •   | Total Estimated Cost: | \$22.32      |  |
|   | Proposed Fee:         | \$25.00      |  |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$25.00 be established to review an application for examination/certification to perform radiological procedures.

#### Page 2 - Application for Radiology Examination

06/30/00

Board Staff: Reviews application for completeness, verifies that supporting documents are attached, contacts candidate to request any missing information. Processes application either by forwarding application and examination fee on to American Registry of Radiologic Technologists to be scheduled for exam or preparing discrepancy notice. The administrative overhead charge has been averaged for the boards offering the radiology examination since the application processing and approval notification are similar.

#### **FEE REPORT FORM**

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 06/30/00

Contact:

**David Williams** 

**Phone No.** 783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Certification of Scores, License, Permit or Registration: \$25.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$250.00 (10 certifications x \$25.00)

### Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who requests certification of scores, license, permit or registration.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process a request for certification and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

# **Fee-Related Activities and Costs:**

| Staff time- process request for certification (.75 h | r)                    | 15.23   |
|--|-----------------------|---------|
| Bureau Average Administrative Overhead:              |                       | 9.76    |
| •  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$24.99 |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$25.00 |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$25.00 be established for certification of scores, license, permit or registration.

# Page 2 Certification of Score, License, Permit or Registration: 06/30/00

Board Staff: Reviews request for certification of licensure and/or scores; researches computer and microfilm files to retrieve pertinent information, transfers that information onto document submitted by requester, affixes Bureau seal onto documents, forwards as instructed by applicant.

### FEE REPORT FORM

Agency:

State - BPOA

**Date** 06/30/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Verification of License, Permit or Registration: \$15.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$9,000.00 (600 verifications x \$15.00)

# Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who requests verification of license, permit or registration.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process a request for verification and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- process request for verification | (.08 hr)              | 1.62        |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| Bureau Average Administrative Overhead:      |                       | <u>9.76</u> |
| <u>.</u>                                     | Total Estimated Cost: | \$11.38     |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$15.00     |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$15.00 be established for verification of license, permit or registration.

# Page 2 Verification of License, Permit or Registration: June 30, 2000

Board Staff: Reviews request for verification, researches computer, microfilm or other files to retrieve pertinent information, transfers that information onto document submitted by requester, affixes Bureau seal onto documents, forwards as instructed by applicant.

# **FEE REPORT FORM**

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 07/05/00

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

## Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Respiratory Care Practitioner Initial Certification Application: \$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$21,000.00

(700 applications x \$30.00)

# **Fee Description:**

The fee will be charged to every applicant for certification as a respiratory care practitioner.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to review and process an application for certification as a respiratory care practitioner and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50/ hr)             | 10.15        |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| Averaged Administrative Overhead:          |                       | <u>15.39</u> |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$25.54      |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$30.00      |

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established to review a respiratory care practitioner initial certification application.

# Page 2 - Respiratory Care Practitioner Initial Certification Application

Board Staff: Review application for completeness, verify that supporting documents are attached, contact candidate to request any missing information. Notify applicant either by issuing registration through the computer or issuing a rejection notice. The administrative overhead charge is an average of the Medical and Osteopathic Board's overhead since the processing is identical for both boards.

#### FEE REPORT FORM

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: July 5, 2000

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

# Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application Fee-Physician Assistant Supervisor Registration:

\$35.00

Covers processing of basic application consisting of one (1) Physician Assistant, one (1) Primary Supervisor, one (1) Substitute Supervisor, and one (1) Written Agreement and one (1) Drug list.

**PLUS** 

\$25.00 for EACH additional Primary or Substitute Supervisor listed on application

Estimated Biennial Revenue: \$36,375.00

(325 apps. x \$35.00 plus 1,000 additional primary or substitutes x \$25.00)

## Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to each applicant for registration as a physician assistant supervisor.

# Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Medicine to process an application for registration as a physician assistant supervisor and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

#### **Fee-Related Activities and Costs:**

| Staff time- review and process application | (.50 hr)              | 17.39   |
|--|-----------------------|---------|
| Administrative Overhead:                   |                       | 15.87   |
|  | Total Estimated Cost: | \$33.26 |
|  | Proposed Fee:         | \$35.00 |

**PLUS** 

\$25.00 for each additional Primary or Substitute Supervisor listed on the application.

# Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that fees as noted be established to evaluate an application for registration as a physician assistant supervisor.

#### Page 2 Physician Assistant Supervisor Registration: 07/05/00

Board Staff: receives application plus attachments including written agreement and drug list, contacts applicant to request any missing information. Reviews application and drug list for compliance with regulations, verifies status of MD and Supervising Physician (if applicable) licenses of applicant and of substitute by checking computer to ascertain that licenses are in good standing, enters information into computer system. If application lists more than one Supervisor (back-up or alternate), verifies accuracy of fees, verifies status of additional personnel as described above. Reviews written agreement to ensure that duties are clearly delineated and that primary and substitute supervisors (if applicable) and assignment locations are specific and in compliance with Board regulations. If all requirements are met, issues approval through computer. If discrepancies exist, prepares letter detailing deficiencies and sends to applicant.

# FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

**J**<sub>7</sub>:

2030 CCT 30 ANN: 41

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

REVIEW COMMISSION

92

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE Copy below is hereby approved as to Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct Copy below is approved as form and legality. Attorney General copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by: to form and legality. Executive or Independent State Board of Medicine DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 16A-4910 SEP 2 9 2000 DATE OF ADOPTION: DATE OF APPROVAL Charles M.D. (Deputy General Counsel (Chist Counsel, Independent Agency (Strike inapplicable title) TITLE: Chairman (EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY) [ ] Check if applicable Copy not approved. Objections attached. [ ] Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 day after submission.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

49 PA. CODE, CHAPTER 16

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees

The State Board of Medicine ("Board") proposes to amend 49 Pa. Code §§16.13, 16.13a, 16.17 and 18.303, by revising certain application fees as set forth in Annex A.

#### A. Effective Date

The amendments would be effective upon publication of the final form regulations in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>.

#### B. Statutory Authority

The amendments are authorized under Section 6 of the Medical Practice Act, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, as amended, 63 P.S. §422.6.

#### C. Background and Purpose

Section 6 of the Medical Practice Act requires the Board to set fees by regulation so that revenues meet or exceed expenditures over a biennial period. General operating expenses of the Board are funded through biennial license renewal fees. Expenses related to applications or services which are provided directly to individual licensees or applicants are excluded from general operating revenues and are funded through fees in which the cost of providing the service forms the basis for the fee.

In a recent systems audit of the operations of the Board within the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, the fees for services for licensees and applicants were analyzed to determine if the fees reflected the actual cost of providing the services. Actual cost calculations are based upon the following formula:

Number of minutes to perform the function

X

Pay rate for the classification of the personnel performing the function

+

### A proportionate share of administrative overhead

As a result of the audit, the Board proposes to increase 17 existing fees and to decrease two fees. The analysis determined that current fees do not accurately reflect the actual cost of processing applications and providing other services.

In this proposal, fees for the services identified would be adjusted to allocate costs

to those who use the service or submit an application. The Board would continue to apportion its enforcement and operating costs to the general licensing population when the Board makes its biennial reconciliation of revenues and expenditures.

#### Administrative Overhead

During reviews of other Bureau proposed fee regulations, IRRC requested that the Bureau and the Boards: (1) itemize the overhead cost to be recouped by the fees; and (2) re-examine the method that is used to determine the administrative overhead factor for each fee.

IRRC commented that although the Bureau's method was reasonable, there was no assurance that the fees would recover the actual overhead cost because the charge was not related to the service, and because the charge was based on the actual rather than the projected expenditures. IRRC also commented that there was no certainty that the projected revenues would meet or exceed projected expenditures, as required under the Boards' enabling statutes.

In computing overhead charges, the Boards and the Bureau include expenses resulting from service of support staff operations, equipment, technology initiatives or upgrades, leased office space and other sources not directly attributable to a specific Board. Once determined, the Bureau's total administrative charge is apportioned to each Board based upon that Board's share of the total active licensee population. In turn, the Board's administrative charge is divided by the number of active licensees to calculate a "per application" charge which is added to direct personnel cost to establish the cost of processing. The administrative charge is consistently applied to every application regardless of how much time the staff spends processing the application.

This method of calculating administrative overhead to be apportioned to fees for services was first included in the biennial reconciliation of fees and expenses conducted in 1988-89. In accordance with the regulatory review, the method was approved by the Senate and House Standing Committees and IRRC as reasonable and consistent with the legislative intent of statutory provisions which require the Board to establish fees which meet or exceed expenses.

IRRC suggested that within each Board, the administrative charge should be determined by the amount of time required to process each application. For example, an application requiring one-half hour of processing time would pay one-half as much overhead charge as an application requiring one hour of processing time. The Bureau concurs with IRRC that by adopting this methodology the Bureau and the Boards would more nearly and accurately accomplish their objective of setting fees that cover the cost

of the service. Therefore, in accordance with IRRC's suggestions, the Bureau conducted a test to compare the resulting overhead charges obtained by applying IRRC suggested time factor versus the current method.

This review of Board operations showed that approximately 25% of staff time was devoted to providing services described in the regulations. The current method recouped 22% to 28% of the administrative overhead charges versus the 25% recouped using a ratio- based time factor. However, when the time factor is combined with the licensing population for each Board, the resulting fees vary widely even though different licensees may receive the same services. For example, using the time-factor method to issue a verification of licensure would cost \$34.58 for a landscape architect as compared with a cost of \$10.18 for a cosmetologist. Conversely, under the Bureau method the administrative overhead charge of \$9.76 represents the cost of processing a verification application for all licensees in the Bureau. Also, the Bureau found that employing a time factor in the computation of administrative overhead would result in a different amount of overhead charge being made for each fee proposed.

With regard to IRRC's suggestions concerning projected versus actual expenses, the Boards note that the computation of projected expenditures based on amounts actually expended has been the basis for biennial reconciliations for the past ten years. During these five biennial cycles, the experience of both the Boards and the Bureau has been that established and verifiable data which can be substantiated by collective bargaining agreements, pay scales and cost benefit factors. This method has provided a reliable basis for fees. Also, the fees are kept at a minimum for licensees, but appear adequate to sustain the operations of the Boards over an extended period. Similarly, accounting, record keeping and swift processing of applications, renewals and other fees were the primary basis for "rounding up" the actual costs to establish a fee. This rounding up process has in effect resulted in the necessary but minimal cushion or surplus to accommodate unexpected needs and expenditures.

In the past, questions have arisen as to the difference between certification and verification fees. The certification of a score is made at the request of a licensee when the licensee is seeking to obtain licensure in another state based upon licensure in Pennsylvania which was issued on the basis of a uniform national or regional examination which was taken in Pennsylvania. Generally, the state of original licensure is the only source of the score of the licensee, as testing agencies do not maintain this information. The licensure laws of many states include provisions that licensure by reciprocity or endorsement based on licensure in another state will be granted only if the board or agency determines that the qualifications are the same or substantially similar. Many state agencies have interpreted this provision to require that licensees have attained a score equal to or exceeding the passing rate in that jurisdiction at the time of original licensure.

For this reason, these states require that the Pennsylvania Board and other Boards certify the examination score the applicant achieved on the licensure examination.

The difference between the verification and certification fees is the amount of time required to produce the document requested by the licensee. As noted above, states request different information when making a determination as to whether to grant licensure based on reciprocity or endorsement from another state. The Bureau has been able to create two documents from its records that will meet all of the needs of the requesting state. The licensee, when she applies to the other state, receives information as to what documentation and form is acceptable in the requesting state. The Bureau then advises the licensee of the type of document he Bureau can provide and the fee.

In the case of a "verification" the staff produces the requested documentation by a letter, usually computer-generated, which contains the license number, date of original issuance and current expiration date, and status of the license. The letters are printed for the Bureau's central computer records and sent to the Board staff responsible for handling the licensee's application. The letters are sealed, folded and mailed in accordance with the directions of the requestor. The Bureau estimates the average time to prepare this document to be five minutes.

The Bureau uses the term "certification fee" to describe the fee for a request for a document, again generally to support reciprocity or endorsement applications to other states, territories or countries, or for employment or training in another state. A certification document contains information specific to the individual requestor. It may include dates or locations where examinations were taken, or scores achieved or hours and location of training. The information is entered onto a document which is usually supplied by the requestor. The average time to prepare a certification is 45 minutes. This is because a number of resources, such as files, microfilm and rosters must be retrieved and consulted in order to provide the information requested. The Board staff then seals and issues this document.

The Board is also taking this opportunity to consolidate its fees under one section. The Board is also eliminating references to nationally established examination fees over which the Board has no control or involvement.

The General Assembly has indicated its preference for the recognition of national uniform examinations and grading services in accordance with Section 812.1 of the Administrative Code of 1929, Act of April 9, 1929, P.L. 177, as amended, 71 P.S. §279.3a. Over the past several years national uniform examinations have been developed for every category of license the Board issues. The fees for the examinations are established by the national examiners and communicated directly to the applicants. Applicants for these

exams pay the examination fees directly to national examiners. Thus, it is unnecessary and impractical for the Board to continue to publish the national examiners' examination fees in the Board's regulations. Since examination fees are no longer established by the Board, Section 6 of the Medical Practice Act is inapplicable as to national uniform examinations. Thus, the Board is eliminating reference to these examination fees.

#### D. <u>Description of Proposed Amendments</u>

The following table outlines the affected application fees, proposed changes and date the indicated fee was last changed:

|  | -ex             |               |                   |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Feetsype   | ECC<br>Grittent | Picalve Date. | Pioposci<br>I FOO |
| Application, License w/o Restriction: accredit ed school (MD)        | 20.00           | 12/31/86      | 35.00             |
| Application, License w/o Restriction: non-<br>accredited school (MD) | 80.00           | 01/19/91      | 85.00             |
| 3. Application, Extraterritorial License (XT)                        | 10.00           | 12/31/86      | 30.00             |
| Application, Graduate License: accredited college (MT)               | 15.00           | 12/31/86      | 30.00             |
| 5. Application, Graduate License: non-accredited college (MT)        | 80.00           | 01/19/91      | 85.00             |
| 6. Application, Temporary License                                    | 35.00           | 12/31/86      | 45.00             |
| 7. Application, Interim Limited License (ML)                         | 10.00           | 12/31/86      | 30.00             |
| 8. Application, Midwife License (MW)                                 | 20.00           | 12/31/86      | 30.00             |
| 9. Application, Physician Assistant Certificate (PA)                 | 15.00           | 12/31/86      | 30.00             |
| 10. Registration, Physician Assistant Supervisor (MX)                | 45.00           | 12/31/86      | 35.00             |
| 11. Registration, Each Additional Supervisor                         | 5.00            | 08/14/93      | 25.00             |
| 12. Application, Satellite Location                                  | 45.00           | 08/14/93      | 25.00             |
| 13. Acupuncture Registration (AK)                                    | 15.00           | 08/14/93      | 30.00             |
| 14. Acupuncture Supervisor Registration (MK)                         | 15.00           | 08/14/93      | 30.00             |
| 15. Resp. Care Temp. Permit  | 10.00           | 11/16/96      | 30.00             |
| 16. Resp. Care Initial Cert. (YM)                                    | 15.00           | 11/16/96      | 30.00             |

| Fee Type   | Gurrente la<br>Fee |          | मिलाक्वः<br>इस्टिक |
|--|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| 17. Radiology Exam App.  | 0.00               |          | 25.00              |
| 18. Certification of License, Scores, Permit, Registration     | 0.00               |          | 25.00              |
| 19. Verification of License, Registration, Certificate, Permit | 10.00              | 06/23/90 | 15.00              |

#### E. Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1

In accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 1996-1 (February 6, 1996), in drafting and promulgating the regulation the Board considered the least restrictive alternative to regulate costs for services requested by licensees and applicants.

#### F. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

The proposed amendments will have no adverse fiscal impact on the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. The fees will have a modest fiscal impact on those members of the private sector who apply for services from the Board. The amendments will impose no additional paperwork requirements upon the Commonwealth, political subdivisions or the private sector.

#### G. Sunset Date

The Board continuously monitors the cost effectiveness of its regulation. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

#### H. Regulatory Review

Pursuant to Section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act, the Act of June 30, 1989 (P.L. 73, No. 19), as amended, 71 P.S. §745.5(a), the Board submitted a copy of this proposed regulation on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission ("Commission") and the Chairmen of the House Professional Licensure Committee and the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee ("Committees"). In addition to submitting the regulation, the Board has

provided the Commission and the Committees with a copy of a detailed regulatory analysis form prepared by the Board in compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, "Regulatory Review and Promulgation". A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Pursuant to Section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, if the Commission has any objections to any portion of the proposed regulation, it will notify the Board within 10 days of the close of the Committees' review period. Such notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met by that portion. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the regulation, by the Board, the General Assembly and the Governor, of objections raised.

#### I. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendments to Gerald S. Smith, Counsel, State Board of Medicine, 116 Pine Street, Post Office Box 2649, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649, within 30 days of publication of this proposed rulemaking. Please reference No. 16A-4910 (Fees), when submitting comments.

#### ANNEX A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS
PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

SUBPART A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
CHAPTER 16. STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE
SUBCHAPTER B. GENERAL LICENSURE, CERTIFICATION
EXAMINATION AND REGISTRATION PROVISIONS

\* \* \*

- §16.13. Licensure, certification, examination and registration fees.
- [(a) The examination fee for the December 1995 and following administrations of the USMLE Step 3 examination is \$375.
- (b) The fee for a license without restriction for a graduate of an accredited medical college is \$20. The fee for a license without restriction for a graduate of an unaccredited medical college is \$80. The biennial registration fee for a license without restriction is \$125.
  - (c) The fee for an institutional license is \$35.
- (d) The fee for an extraterritorial license is \$10. The biennial registration fee for an extraterritorial license is \$80.
- (e) The fee for a graduate license for a graduate of an accredited medical college is \$15. The fee for a graduate license for a graduate of an unaccredited medical college is \$80. The fee to renew a graduate license is \$10.
  - (f) The fee for a temporary license is \$35.
- (g) The fee for an interim limited license is \$10. The fee to renew an interim limited license is \$10.
- (h) The fee for a midwife license is \$20. The biennial registration fee for a midwife license is \$40.
- (i) The fee for a physician assistant certificate is \$15. The biennial registration fee for a physician assistant certificate

is \$40.

- (j) The fee for registration as a supervising physician of a physician assistant is \$15.
- (k) The fee for registration as a physician assistant supervisor of a physician assistant is \$55.
- (1) The application fee for satellite location approval is \$45.
- (m) The fee for an acupuncturist registration is \$15. The biennial registration fee for an acupuncturist registration is \$40.
- (n) The fee for an acupuncturist supervisor registration is \$15.
- (o) The biennial registration fee for a drugless therapist license is \$25.
- (p) The biennial registration fee for a limited license-permanent--is \$25.
- (q) There is no initial registration fee for a license, certificate or registration subject to biennial registration requirements.
- (r) The fees for examination in radiologic procedures are listed in this subsection.
  - (1) The fee for the ARRT Examination in Radiography is \$30.
  - (2) The fee for the ARRT Examination in Radiation Therapy Technology is \$30.
  - (3) The fee for the ARRT Examination in Nuclear Medicine Technology is \$30.
  - (4) The fee for the ARRT Limited Examinations in Radiography is \$35. Study material may be purchased from the

### Board for \$21.

- (5) The fee for the ARRT Limited Examination in Radiography--Skull and Sinuses is \$35.
- (s) The fee for SPEX (Special Purpose Examination) is \$550.]

#### Medical Doctor License:

#### License Without Restriction:

| •   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Application, graduate of accredited medical college   | \$3 <u>5</u>        |
| Application, graduate of unaccredited medical college | \$85                |
| Biennial renewal                                      | \$125               |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
| Extraterritorial License:                             |                     |
|   |                     |
| Application   | <u>\$30</u>         |
| Biennial renewal                                      | <u>\$80</u>         |
|   |                     |
| Constitute Vilaria                                    |                     |
| Graduate License:                                     |                     |
| Application, graduate of accredited medical college   | \$30                |
| Application, graduate of unaccredited medical college | <u>\$30</u><br>\$80 |
| Annual renewal  | <u>\$15</u>         |
| MINICAL LONGIL  | <u> </u>            |
|   |                     |
| Interim Limited License:                              |                     |
|   |                     |
| Application   | <u>\$30</u>         |
| Biennial renewal                                      | <u>\$10</u>         |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
| Miscellaneous:  |                     |
| Application, Institutional license                    | \$30                |
| Application, Temporary license                        | <u>\$30</u><br>\$35 |
| Biennial renewal, Limited license (permanent)         | <u>\$25</u>         |

# Midwife License: <u>\$30</u> Application Biennial renewal \$40 Physician Assistant Certificate: Application \$30 \$40 Biennial renewal Registration, Physician Assistant supervisor \$45 <u>\$ 5</u> Registration of additional supervisors Satellite location approval \$25 Acupuncturist Registration: \$30 Application Biennial renewal \$40 <u>\$30</u> Registration, Acupuncture supervisor Drugless Therapist License: Biennial renewal \$35 Radiology Technician: Application for Examination <u>\$25</u> Respiratory Care Practitioner Certificate: \$30 Application, Temporary permit Application, Initial certification \$30 \$25 Biennial renewal

#### <u>Verification or Certification:</u>

<u>Verification of status</u> <u>Certification of records</u> <u>\$15</u> \$25

#### Examination Fees:

The State Board of Medicine has adopted nationally recognized examinations in each licensing class. Fees are established by the national owners/providers of the examinations and are indicated in the examination applications.

\* \* \*

# \$16.13a. [Temporary waiver of biennial registration fees.] Reserved.

[Biennial registration fees in §16.13 (relating to licensure, certification, examination and registration fees) are waived until the expiration of the 1987-88 biennial registration period.]

\* \* \*

# §16.17. Certification of license, certificate or registration status.

- (a) The status of a license, certificate or registration issued by the Board will be certified by the Board to other jurisdictions or persons upon formal application and payment of [\$10.] the fee indicated under §16.13.
- (b) A person who is licensed, certified or registered by the Board who seeks a license, certificate or registration in another jurisdiction or country, may be required to arrange for the Board to provide certain information to the licensing authority in the other jurisdiction by the Board completing a document and then forwarding the document to the other licensing authority. In that case, the document shall be completed prior to being submitted to the Board with the exception of the portion to be completed by the Board. It shall then be forwarded to the Board with the request that it be completed and forwarded to the licensing authority in the other jurisdiction.

(c) A request to certify the status of a person's license, certificate or registration or information regarding a person's license, certificate or registration status shall be forwarded to the Board, accompanied by [a] the fee [of \$10] indicated under \$16.13 in the form of a certified check, cashier's check, money order or personal check payable to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

# \$18.303. [Fees.] Reserved.

| [The | follo | owing is | the sc | hedul  | e of | fe   | es  | ch  | arg | eđ  | by | th | e. | Воа  | ard:  |
|------|-------|----------|--------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|------|-------|
|      | (1)   | Temporar | y perm | it .   |      |      | •   |     |     |     |    |    | •  | •    | \$15  |
|      | (2)   | Initial  | certif | icatio | on   |      | •   | •   |     | •   |    |    | •  | •    | \$15  |
|      | (3)   | Certific | ation  | examiı | nati | on   | •   | •   |     | •   | •  |    | •  | •    | \$90  |
|      |       | (Effecti | ve 7-9 | 6) .   |      |      | •   | •   |     |     | •  |    |    | . \$ | \$100 |
|      | (4)   | Re-exami | nation |        |      |      | •   | •   |     | • . | •  |    | •  | •    | \$60  |
|      | (5)   | Biennial | renew  | al of  | cer  | tif: | ica | ati | on  |     |    |    |    |      | \$25] |



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14th Floor, Harristown 2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

Re: Proposed Regulation

State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Chairman McGinley:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Medicine pertaining to licensure, certification, examination, and registration fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely

Charles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

#### CDH/GSS/dws

Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel Department of State

> David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Gerald S. Smith, Counsel

State Board of Medicine



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable Lisa M. Boscola, Minority Chairperson Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA 633 Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re: Proposed Regulation

State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Senator Boscola:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Psychology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Charles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

CDH/GSS/dws Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel

Department of State

David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Gerald S. Smith, Counsel

State Board of Medicine



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable Clarence D. Bell, Chairman Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA 20 Main Capitol, East Wing Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

> Re: **Proposed Regulation**

> > State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Senator Bell:

Enclosed are five copies of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Psychology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

arles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

#### CDH/GSS/dws **Enclosures**

John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel Department of State

David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Gerald S. Smith, Counsel State Board of Medicine



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14th Floor, Harristown 2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

Re:

Proposed Regulation

State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Chairman McGinley:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Medicine pertaining to licensure, certification, examination, and registration fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely.

Charles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

#### CDH/GSS/dws

Enclosure

c:

John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel

Department of State

David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Gerald S. Smith, Counsel

State Board of Medicine



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable Mario J. Civera, Jr., Chairman Professional Licensure Committee PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 315-D Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re:

Proposed Regulation

State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Representative Civera:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Psychology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely.

harles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

#### CDH/GSS/dws

Enclosure

John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel

Department of State

David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Gerald S. Smith, Counsel

State Board of Medicine



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-1400

October 30, 2000

The Honorable William W. Rieger, Minority Chairman Professional Licensure Committee PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 327-C Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re: Proposed Regulation

State Board of Medicine

Licensure, Certification, Examination and Registration Fees (16A-4910)

Dear Representative Rieger:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Psychology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely

Charles D. Hummer, Jr., M.D., Chairperson

State Board of Medicine

#### CDH/GSS/dws Enclosure

John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel
Department of State
David M. Williams, Deputy Commissioner
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel
Department of State
Lee Ann H. Murray, Regulatory Counsel
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Gerald S. Smith, Counsel
State Board of Medicine
State Board of Medicine

# TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

| I.D. NUMBER | R: 16A-4910   |
|-------------|---|
| SUBJECT:    | Licensure, Certification, Examination & Registration Fees   |
| AGENCY:     | DEPARTMENT OF STATE   |
| X           | TYPE OF REGULATION  Proposed Regulation  Final Regulation  Final Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted  120-day Emergency Certification of the Attorney General  120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor  Delivery of Tolled Regulation  a. With Revisions b. Without Revisions |
|             | FILING OF REGULATION  |
| DATE        | SIGNATURE DESIGNATION   |
| 1030-co     | HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE GOVERNMENT   |
| OCT 3 8 20  | SENATE COMMITTEE ON STATE GOVERNMENT  |
| 10/30/00    | independent regulatory review commission  |
|             | ATTORNEY GENERAL  |
|             | LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU  |
|             |   |