This space for use by IRRC **Regulatory Analysis Form** 2000 JUN 2 | AMII: 39 REVIEW CONTINSPICH (1) Agency Department of State, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, State Board of Cosmetology (2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Use) IRRC Number: 16A-458 (3) Short Title **Application Fees** (4) PA Code Cite (5) Agency Contacts & Telephone Numbers 49 Pa. Code §7.2 Primary Contact: Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel State Board of Cosmetology (717)783-7200 Secondary Contact: Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel, Regulatory Review (717)78 3-1088 (6) Type of Rulemaking (check one) (7) Is a 120-Day Emergency Certification Attached? X Proposed Rulemaking Final Order Adopting Regulation X No Final, Proposed Omitted Yes: By the Attorney General Yes: By the Governor (8) Briefly explain the regulation in clear and nontechnical language. The regulation amends the fee schedule for the State Board of Cosmetology to revise fees for licensure, registration, and shop change fees and to create new fees for verification of licenses and certification of student/apprentice hours. The new fees are needed to cover the cost of providing those services. (9) State the statutory authority for the regulation and any relevant state or federal court decisions. The regulatory amendments are adopted under Section 16 of the Beauty Culture Law (Law), Act of May 3, 1933, P.L. 242, as amended, 63 P.S. §522.

(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.

Yes. The Board is required by statute to adopt regulations setting fees. See Item No. 9 for the specific law.

(11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?

The enabling statute of the Board requires that the Board set fees by regulation so that revenues meet or exceed expenditures over a biennial period. The operating expenses of the Board are generally borne by the licensee population through biennial renewal revenue. Expenses related to services which are provided directly to individual licensees or applicants are excluded from general operating revenues so that only the licensee who uses a particular service pays for a service being provided to him or her. By this regulation, the cost of providing the service will be apportioned to users, rather than burdening the entire licensee population.

(12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.

Nonregulation could potentially adversely impact the fiscal integrity of the Board. If left unregulated, the costs of providing these services would be borne by the general licensing population, some of whom did not or would not receive a benefit from the service.

(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)

The licensing population generally will benefit by having costs of services which are utilized by only a portion of the licensees or applicants paid by those actually using the service.

(14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adverse effects as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely affected.)

The Board has identified no group of individuals or entities who will be adversely affected by the regulation. Applicants for services or licenses will be required to bear the up-to-date costs of providing the services involved.

(15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the regulation. (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)

Cosmetologist, manicurist, and cosmetician shop and individual applicants, and cosmetology school applicants for licensure, verifications of licensure, certification of scores or experience requirements, apprentice registration, school supervisor approval and school and shop inspections will be assessed the applicable fees. The Board estimates that approximately 14,391 persons will avail themselves of one or more of the enumerated services in a two-year period.

(16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.

These regulations do not place requirements on licensees concerning their conduct or compliance with state law regarding the performance of a licensing duty under licensing statutes. The regulation embodies the fees which capture the cost of providing the service an applicant or licensee requests. Therefore, the information requested in this item is not applicable.

(17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

The Board estimates that 14,391 persons will avail themselves of one or more of the enumerated services within a biennial period. Total aggregate additional cost for the regulated community for a biennial period would be approximately \$136,250.00. However, only those requesting the services will be affected. No legal, accounting or consulting procedures will be implicated in complying with the regulatory amendments.

Regulatory Analysis Form
(18) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to local governments associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.
Local governments would not be affected by the regulation.
(19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to state government associated with the implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required.
The Board will not incur an increase in administrative costs by implementing the regulation. Indeed, the regulatory amendments will permit the Board to recoup the costs of providing the enumerated services.
•

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

	Current FY Year	FY +1 Year	FY +2 Year	FY +3 Year	FY +4 Year	FY +5 Year
SAVINGS:	\$	\$	\$	S	\$	\$
Regulated	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Local Government						
State Government						
Total Saving						
COSTS:			ļ			<u> </u>
Regulated	\$N/A	\$N/A	SN/A	\$N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A
Local Government	<u> </u>					1
State Government						
Total Costs						
REVENUE LOSSES:						<u> </u>
Regulated						
Local Government						<u> </u>
State Government						
Total Revenue Losses						

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

The cost estimates are based upon the following number of persons which the Board estimates will avail themselves of the specified service over a fiscal year period (one-half biennium) multiplied by the savings or additional cost to the applicant for the service:

(20b) Provide the past three year expenditure history for programs affected by the regulation.

	FY 96-97	FY 97-98	Projected FY 98-99	Budgeted FY 99-00
Program	FY -3	FY -2	FY -1	Current FY
State Board of				
Cosmetology	\$2,120,342.05	\$2,300,699.00	\$1,931,340.37	\$2,517,000.00

(21) Using the cost-benefit information provided above, explain how the benefits of the regulation outweigh the adverse effects and costs.

The amendments to the existing regulations will assure that the costs of providing the specified services to certain applicants and licensees will be borne by individuals who receive the service.

(22) Describe the nonregulatory alternatives considered and the costs associated with those alternatives. Provide the reasons for their dismissal.

No nonregulatory alternatives were considered because the Board's enabling statute requires the Board to promulgate regulations to establish fees or changes thereto.

(23) Describe alternative regulatory schemes considered and the costs associated with those schemes. Provide the reasons for their dismissal.

See No. 22 above.

Regulatory Analysis Form
(24) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the
specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulation.
No federal licensure standards apply.
\cdot
(25) How does this regulation compare with those of other states? Will the regulation put
Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage with other states?
Additional information has been requested from other states. The following chart summarizes the information received. (See page 7A)
(26) Will the regulation affect existing or proposed regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.
This regulation will have no effect on other regulations of the Board or other state agencies.
(27) Will any public hearings or informational meetings be scheduled? Please provide the dates, times, and locations, if available.
In light of the statutory mandate, the Board has scheduled no public hearings or informational meetings regarding this regulation.

16A-458 COSMETOLOGY FEES

	<u> </u>						
bold = proposed	PA	NJ	NY*	MD	ОН	VA	DE
Temp Permit							
Initial License-CL/CO/CQ	*	20	10	10		**	**
Initial License-Shop	10	30-60	25	25	21	30	41
Reinspect aft 1st fail-new/chg							
Shop Change-Insp. Req'd	55	280	200	200	110	100	44
New Sch App w/1st insp.	40	•	•	*	*	*	41
Reinspect sch aft 1st fail-new/chg	55	280	30	**	**	**	*1
Change School License	160	550	*	*	250	125-150	**
License by Reciprocity	40	*	*		*	*	**
Apprentice App		550		*	250	25	**
App-School Supv.	20	100	*	*	*	30	*1
Certify Student/Apprentice Hrs	30	*	*	*	*	*	**
Verify Lic/Permit Regis/Approval	15	*	*	25	50	*	**

* No comparible fee is imposed							·····
** Other state authorities were not ab	le to provide this in	formation					
and the second s	[****
	t						

(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements? Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of implementation, if available.

No changes to reporting, recordkeeping or other paperwork are required by this regulation.

(29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.

The Board has perceived no special needs of any subset of its applicants or licensees for whom special accommodations should be made.

(30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained?

The regulation will be effective upon publication as an Order of Final Rulemaking in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

(31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.

The Board reviews its revenues and costs of its programs on a fiscal year and biennial basis.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

License Application-Cosmetologist, Manicurist or Cosmetician:

\$10.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$95,000.00

 $(9,500 \text{ applications } \times \$10.00)$

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who applies for licensure as a Cosmetologist, Manicurist or Cosmetician.

Fee Objective:

The fee should offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to review and process a request for licensure for a Cosmetologist, Manicurist or Cosmetician and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Board Staff - process application

(0.08)

1.62

Administrative Overhead:

Total Estimated Cost:

8.56 \$10.18

Proposed Fee:

\$10.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$10.00 be established for processing an application for licensure as a Cosmetologist, Manicurist or Cosmetician.

Page 2 Application for Licensure-Cosmetologist, Manicurist or Cosmetician Date: 04/06/99

Board staff: Receives roster and computer tape of passing candidates from test contractor, forwards tape to M I S (Management Information Systems) for update into license computer system and production of a display license and wallet card. Printed document is sent to the Bureau's mailing contractor for processing and mailing to licensee. The administrative overhead charge offsets expenses such as rent, phones, mailroom/microfilm services that are charged to each board based on a licensee population.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

License Application-Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop:

\$55.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$148,500.00

 $(2,700 \text{ applications } \times $55.00)$

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who applies for licensure of a Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to review and process a request for licensure of a Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- process application	(1.00 hr)		20.30
BEI - inspect facility	(1.10 hr)		25.51
Administrative Overhead:			<u>8.56</u>
_		Total Estimated Cost:	\$54.37
•		Proposed Fee:	\$55.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$55.00 be established for processing an application for licensure of a Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop.

Page 2 Application for Licensure-Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: Receives application, reviews for completeness, contacts applicant to obtain any missing information and/or documents, forwards to Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation for inspection of facility. BEI conducts inspection, forwards report to board staff who issue license if requirements are met or letter of discrepancy if unmet.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Re-inspection after initial failure-New or Relocated Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop: \$40.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$400.00

(10 applications x \$40.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to re-inspect a new or relocated Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop after initial failure.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to inspect a Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop after initial failure and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- process application	(.17 hr)		3.38
BEI - inspect facility	(1.10 hr)		25.51
Administrative Overhead:	,		<u>8.56</u>
•		Total Estimated Cost:	\$37.45
		Proposed Fee:	\$40.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$40.00 be established to re-inspect a new or relocated Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop after initial failure.

Page 2 Re-inspect after Initial Failure- New or Relocated Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: After failure at initial inspection, applicant notifies board when deficiencies have been corrected, board forwards to Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation for inspection of facility. BEI conducts inspection, forwards report to board staff who issue license if requirements are met or letter of discrepancy if unmet. This fee will be charged each time a re-inspection is necessary to determine compliance with regulations for a new or relocated shop.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Change to Existing Shop - Inspection Required:

\$55.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$38,500.00

(700 applications x \$55.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to process a request to change an existing license (change of location, remodeling, etc.) when an inspection is required to determine compliance with requirements for Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process a request to change a Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop license and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- process application	(1.10 hr)		20.30
BEI - inspect facility	(1.10 hr)		25.51
Administrative Overhead:			<u>8.56</u>
		Total Estimated Cost:	\$54.37
		Proposed Fee:	\$55.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$55.00 be established to process a request to change an existing Cosmetology, Manicurist or Cosmetician Shop license.

Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: receives application, reviews for completeness, contacts candidate to request any missing information and/or documents, forwards to Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation for inspection of facility. BEI conducts inspection, forwards report to board staff who update computer information and issue license if requirements are met or letter of discrepancy if unmet.

Agency: State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact: D

David Williams

Phone No. 783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Initial License - Cosmetology School:

\$160.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$800.00

(5 applications x \$160.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to process an application for licensure of a Cosmetology School.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process a request for licensure for a Cosmetology School and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- prepare application	(1.00 hr)	20.30
Board Administrator - process application	(1.00 hr)	30.20
BEI - inspect facility	(1.10 hr)	25.51
Board Meeting - review/discuss/vote	(0.25 hr)	72.00
Administrative Overhead:		<u>8.56</u>
	Total Estimated Cost:	\$156.57
	Proposed Fee:	\$160.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$160.00 be established to process an application for licensure of a Cosmetology School.

Page 2 License Application - Cosmetology School Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: receives application, reviews for completeness, contacts applicant to request any missing information and/or documents, places on agenda for board review of curriculum, facilities, etc. If approved by board, request is forwarded to Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation for inspection of facility. BEI conducts inspection, forwards report to board staff who issue license through computer if requirements are met or letter of discrepancy if unmet.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Re-inspect New or Relocated Cosmetology School after initial failure:

\$40.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$40.00

(1 application x \$40.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to re-inspect a new or relocated Cosmetology School after initial failure.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to re-inspect a new or relocated Cosmetology School after initial failure and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- prepare application	(.17 hr)	3.38
BEI - inspect facility	(1.10 hr)	25.51
Administrative Overhead:	, ,	<u>8.56</u>
	Total Estimated Cost:	\$ 37.45
	Proposed Fee:	\$ 40.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$40.00 be established to re-inspect a new or relocated cosmetology school after initial failure.

Page 2 Re-inspect new or relocated Cosmetology School after initial failure: Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: receives notification that discrepancy have been corrected, notifies Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation to schedule inspection of facility. BEI conducts inspection, forwards report to board staff who update computer issue license if requirements are met or letter of discrepancy if unmet. This fee will be charged each time a re-inspection is necessary to determine compliance for a new or relocated cosmetology school.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Willialms

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

License by Reciprocity-Cosmetician/Cosmetologist/Manicurist/Cosmetology Teacher:

\$20.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$7,000.00

(350 applications x \$20.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who applies for licensure by reciprocity.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process an application for license by reciprocity and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Board staff - process application	(.50 hr)	10.15
Administrative Overhead:	•	<u>8.56</u>
	Total Estimated Cost:	\$18.71
	Proposed Fee:	\$20.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$20.00 be established for processing an application for licensure by reciprocity as a Cosmetician, Cosmetologist, Manicurist, or Cosmetology Teacher.

Page 2 License by Reciprocity-Cosmetician/Cosmetologist/Manicurist/Cosmetology Teacher Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: Receives application, reviews for completeness and contacts applicant to obtain any missing information and/or documents. When application is complete and requirements are met the license is issued through the computer.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application for Apprentice Permit:

\$70.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

1,400.00 (20 applications x \$70.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant for an Apprentice Permit.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process an application for an Apprentice Permit and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Board staff - prepare application	(1.00 hr)	20.30
Board Administrator - process application	(1.00 hr)	30.20
Board Member Committee - avg. cost		4.00
Board Meeting - review recommendation/vote	(0.02 hr)	4.80
Administrative Overhead:		<u>8.56</u>
	Total Estimated Cost:	\$67.86
	Proposed Fee:	\$70.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$70.00 be established for processing an application for an Apprentice Permit.

Page 2 Application for Apprentice Permit: 03/22/00

Board staff: Receives application, reviews for completeness and contacts applicant to obtain any missing information and/or documents. When application is complete it is sent to the Board Administrator for technical review and then to the School Committee for evaluation and finally, on to a board meeting for vote on the committee recommendation. If approved, the permit is issued.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Application for Approval of School Supervisor:

\$20.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$400.00 (20 applications x \$20.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant for approval of a school supervisor.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process an application for approval of a school supervisor and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Board staff - process application	(.50 hr)	10.15
Administrative Overhead:		<u>8.56</u>
	Total Estimated Cost:	\$18.71
	Proposed Fee:	\$20.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$20.00 be established for processing an application for approval of a School Supervisor.

Page 2 Application to Approval School Supervisor: Date: 03/22/00

Board staff: Receives application, reviews for completeness and contacts applicant to obtain any missing information and/or documents. When application is complete the approval letter is issued if all requirements are met; letter of discrepancy if unmet.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Verification of License, Registration, Permit or Approval:

\$15.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$15,000.00

(1,000 verifications x \$15.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant who requests a verification of license, registration, permit or approval.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to review and process a request for verification and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time- process request for verification
Bureau Average Administrative Overhead:

Total Estin

1.62 __9.76

Total Estimated Cost: Proposed Fee:

\$11.38 \$15.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$15.00 be established for verification of license, registration, permit, or approval.

Page 2 Verification of License, Registration, Permit or Approval March 22, 2000

Board Staff: Reviews request for verification, researches computer, microfilm or other files to retrieve pertinent information, transfers that information onto document submitted by requester, affixes Bureau seal onto documents, forwards as instructed by applicant.

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 03/22/00

Contact:

David Williams

Phone No.

783-7194

Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Certify Student or Apprentice Training Hours:

\$30.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$2,250.00

(75 applications x \$30.00)

Fee Description:

The fee will be charged to every applicant for certification of student or apprentice training hours.

Fee Objective:

The fee should (1) offset the identifiable costs incurred by the State Board of Cosmetology to process a request for certification of student or apprentice training hours and (2) defray a portion of the Board's administrative overhead.

Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Staff time-process application (1.00 hr)Administrative Overhead:

20.30

Total Estimated Cost:

8.56

\$ 28.86

Proposed Fee:

\$ 30.00

Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that a fee of \$30.00 be established for processing a request for certification of student or apprentice training hours.

Page 2

Certify Student or Apprentice Training Hours

Date:03/22/00

Board Staff - receives request to report training hours recorded in Pennsylvania to another state board and/or out of state school, researches computer, microfilm or other files to retrieve information, transfers that information onto document submitted by requester, affixes Bureau seal onto documents, forwards as instructed by applicant.

FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

2000 JUN 21 AHII: 39

RECTIVED

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

T616#

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Attorney General	Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by:	Copy below is approved as to form and legality. Executive or Independent Agencies.
realize of. Carrie	State Board of Cosmetology (AGENCY)	12 Velen
(DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL)	(MOINCI)	
JUN 1 5 2000	DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 16A-458	
	DATE OF ADOPTION:	5-24-00
DATE OF APPROVAL	BY: Carol M. Thompson	DATE OF APPROVAL
		(Deputy General Counsel (Ghtes Counsel, Independent Agency (Strike inapplicable title)
	TITLE: Chairperson	
	(EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY)	
[] Check if applicable Copy not approved. Objections attached.		
objections accading.		[] Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 day after submission.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

49 Pa. Code, Chapter 7

APPLICATION FEES

The State Board of Cosmetology (Board) proposes to amend its regulation at 49 Pa. Code §7.2 Fees. by revising certain application fees as set forth in Annex A.

A. Effective date

The amendments will be effective upon publication of the final form regulation in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

B. Statutory Authority

The amendments are authorized under Section 16 of the Beauty Culture Law (Law), Act of May 3, 1933, P.L. 242, <u>as amended</u>, 63 P.S. §522.

C. Background and Purpose

The Law requires the Board to set fees by regulation so that revenues meet or exceed expenditures over a biennial period. General operating expenses of the Board are funded through biennial license renewal fees. Expenses related to applications or services which are provided directly to individual licensees or applicants are excluded from general operating revenues and are funded through fees in which the cost of providing the service forms the basis for the fee.

In a recent systems audit of the operations of the Board within the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, the fees for services to licensees and applicants were analyzed to determine if the fees reflected the actual cost of providing the services. Actual cost calculations are based upon the following formula:

number of minutes to perform the function

x

pay rate for the classification of personnel performing the function

+

a proportionate share of administrative overhead

Administrative Overhead

During reviews of other Bureau proposed fee regulations, IRRC requested that the Bureau and the Boards: (1) itemize the overhead cost to be recouped by the fees; and (2) re-examine the method that is used to determine the administrative overhead factor for each fee.

IRRC commented that although the Bureau's method was reasonable, there was

no assurance that the fees would recover the actual overhead cost because the charge was not related to the service, and because the charge was based on the actual rather than the projected expenditures. IRRC also commented that there was no certainty that the projected revenues would meet or exceed projected expenditures, as required under the Boards' enabling statutes.

In computing overhead charges, the Boards and the Bureau include expenses resulting from service of support staff operations, equipment, technology initiatives or upgrades, leased office space and other sources not directly attributable to a specific Board. Once determined, the Bureau's total administrative charge is apportioned to each Board based upon that Board's share of the total active licensee population. In turn, the Board's administrative charge is divided by the number of active licensees to calculate a "per application" charge which is added to direct personnel cost to establish the cost of processing. The administrative charge is consistently applied to every application regardless of how much time the staff spends processing the application.

This method of calculating administrative overhead to be apportioned to fees for services was first included in the biennial reconciliation of fees and expenses conducted in 1988-89. In accordance with the regulatory review, the method was approved by the Senate and House Standing Committees and IRRC as reasonable and consistent with the legislative intent of statutory provisions which require the Board to establish fees which meet or exceed expenses.

IRRC suggested that within each Board, the administrative charge should be determined by the amount of time required to process each application. For example, an application requiring one-half hour of processing time would pay one-half as much overhead charge as an application requiring one hour of processing time. The Bureau concurs with IRRC that by adopting this methodology the Bureau and the Boards would more nearly and accurately accomplish their objective of setting fees that cover the cost of the service. Therefore, in accordance with IRRC's suggestions, the Bureau conducted a test to compare the resulting overhead charges obtained by applying IRRC suggested time factor versus the current method.

This review of Board operations showed that approximately 25% of staff time was devoted to providing services described in the regulations. The current method recouped 22% to 28% of the administrative overhead charges versus the 25% recouped using a ratio- based time factor. However, when the time factor is combined with the licensing population for each Board, the resulting fees vary widely even though different licensees may receive the same services. For example, using the time-factor method to issue a verification of licensure would cost \$34.58 for a landscape architect as compared with a

cost of \$10.18 for a cosmetologist. Conversely, under the Bureau method the administrative overhead charge of \$9.76 represents the cost of processing a verification application for all licensees in the Bureau. Also, the Bureau found that employing a time factor in the computation of administrative overhead would result in a different amount of overhead charge being made for each fee proposed.

With regard to IRRC's suggestions concerning projected versus actual expenses, the Boards note that the computation of projected expenditures based on amounts actually expended has been the basis for biennial reconciliations for the past ten years. During these five biennial cycles, the experience of both the Boards and the Bureau has been that established and verifiable data which can be substantiated by collective bargaining agreements, pay scales and cost benefit factors. This method has provided a reliable basis for fees. Also, the fees are kept at a minimum for licensees, but appear adequate to sustain the operations of the Boards over an extended period. Similarly, accounting, record keeping and swift processing of applications, renewals and other fees were the primary basis for "rounding up" the actual costs to establish a fee. This rounding up process has in effect resulted in the necessary but minimal cushion or surplus to accommodate unexpected needs and expenditures.

For these reasons, the Boards have not made changes in the method by which it allocates administrative expenditures and the resulting fees will remain as proposed.

The analysis, with regard to the Cosmetology Board, determined that the fees for eight services do not accurately reflect the actual cost of providing those services: licensure of cosmetologist, manicurist or cosmetician; licensure of cosmetology, manicurist or cosmetician shop; licensure of cosmetology school; licensure by reciprocity; registration of cosmetology apprentice; approval of cosmetology school supervisor; change in cosmetology shop (inspection required); and reinspection of cosmetology shop. No fee is in place for verification of license, registration, permit or approval; certification of student or apprentice training; or reinspection of cosmetology school.

In this proposal, fees for the services identified above would be adjusted to allocate costs to those who use the service or make application. The Board would continue to apportion enforcement and operating costs to the general licensing population by means of its license renewal fee through the biennial reconciliation of revenue and expenditures.

The fee for certification of licensure would be deleted as it was, in actuality, a verification rather than a certification and is covered under the new "verification of license, registration permit or approval" fee.

D. Description of Services

Professional licensing boards other than the State Board of Cosmetology have also been proposing revisions to non-renewal fees. Review of the proposed new fee regulations by the legislative committees indicated that certain explanations of the services for which fees are charged would be helpful for an understanding of the need to set appropriate fees.

Certification of Student or Apprentice Training Hours

This service is necessary if a student is changing schools prior to completion of a program or to document training hours completed in Pennsylvania for another state board. The new fee will to recoup the cost of time required to research quarterly reports which are made by all schools for their students. The Board employs a manual reporting system with records maintained on microfilm and on paper. Because reporting is done quarterly, it is necessary to research numerous reports to ensure that all hours credited by schools are reported. 63 P.S. §512(a)

Certification and Verification Fees

The certification of a score is made at the request of a licensee when the licensee is seeking to obtain licensure in another state based upon licensure in Pennsylvania which was issued on the basis of a uniform national or regional examination which was teken in Pennsylvania. Generally, the state of original licensure is the only source of the score of the licensee, as testing agencies do not maintain this information. The licensure laws of many states include provisions that licensure by reciprocity or endorsement based on licensure in another state will be granted only if the board or agency determines that the qualifications are the same or substantially similar. Many state agencies have interpreted this provision to require that licensees have attained a score equal to or exceeding the passing rate in that jurisdiction at the time of original licensure. For this reason, these states require that the Pennsylvania Board and other Boards certify the examination score the applicant achieved on the licensure examination.

The difference between the verification and certification fees is the amount of time required to produce the document requested by the licensee. As noted above, states request different information when making a determination as to whether to grant licensure based on reciprocity or endorsement from another state. The Bureau has been able to create two documents from its records that will meet all of the needs of the requesting state. The licensee, when she applies to the other state, receives information as to what documentation and form is acceptable in the requesting state. The Bureau then advises the licensee of the type of document he Bureau can provide and the fee.

In the case of a "verification" the staff produces the requested documentation by a letter, usually computer-generated, which contains the license number, date of original issuance and current expiration date, and status of the license. The letters are printed for the Bureau's central computer records and sent to the Board staff responsible for handling the licensee's application. The letters are sealed, folded and mailed in accordance with the directions of the requestor. The Bureau estimates the average time to prepare this document to be five minutes.

The Bureau uses the term "certification fee" to describe the fee for a request for a document, again generally to support reciprocity or endorsement applications to other states, territories or countries, or for employment or training in another state. A certification document contains information specific to the individual requestor. It may include dates or locations where examinations were taken, or scores achieved or hours and location of training. The information is entered onto a document which is usually supplied by the requestor. The average time to prepare a certification is 45 minutes. This is because a number of resources, such as files, microfilm and rosters must be retrieved and consulted in order to provide the information requested. The Board staff then seals and issues this document.

E. Description of Proposed Amendments

The following table outlines the affected application fees and proposed changes:

Application/Service	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Licensure of cosmetologist, manicurist or		
cosmetician	\$ 5.00	\$ 10.00
Licensure of cosmetology shop, manicurist		
shop or cosmetician shop	\$ 35.00	\$ 55.00
Licensure of cosmetology school	\$ 95.00	\$160.00
Licensure by reciprocity	\$ 25.00	\$ 20.00
Registration of cosmetology apprentice	\$ 35.00	\$ 70.00
Approval of cosmetology school supervisor	\$ 10.00	\$ 20.00
Change in cosmetology shop		
(inspection required)	\$ 35.00	\$ 55.00
Reinspection of cosmetology shop	\$ 15.00	\$ 40.00
Reinspection of cosmetology school	\$ 0.00	\$ 40.00
Certification of student or apprentice		
training hours	\$ 0.00	\$ 30.00
Verification of license, registration,		
permit or approval	\$ 0.00	\$ 15.00

F. Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1

In accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 1996-1 (February 6, 1996), in drafting and promulgating the regulation the Board considered the least restrictive alternative to regulate costs for services requested by licensees and applicants.

G. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

The proposed amendments will have no adverse fiscal impact on the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. The fees will have a modest fiscal impact on those members of the private sector who apply for services from the Board. The amendments will impose no additional paperwork requirements upon the Commonwealth, political subdivisions or the private sector.

H. Sunset Date

The Board continuously monitors the cost effectiveness of its regulations. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

I. Regulatory Review

If the Commission has any objections to any portion of the proposed regulation, it will notify the Board within ten days after the expiration of the Committees' review period. Such notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met by that portion. The Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the regulation, by the Board, the General Assembly, and the Governor, of objections raised.

J. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendments to Sara Sulpizio, Administrative Assistant, State Board of Cosmetology, P. O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649, within 36 days of publication of this proposed rulemaking. Please reference No. 16A-458 (Application Fees), when submitting comments.

ANNEX A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE SUBPART A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS CHAPTER 7. STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

FEES

§7.2. Fees.

* * * *

(b) Other fees charged by the Board:	
Licensure of cosmetologist, manicurist or cosmetician	\$[5] <u>10</u>
Licensure of cosmetology shop manager or cosmetology teacher	
Licensure of cosmetology shop, manicurist shop or cosmetician shop	
Licensure of cosmetology school	
Licensure by reciprocity	
Registration of cosmetology apprentice	
Biennial renewal of manicurist's license	\$21
Biennial renewal of cosmetician's license	
Biennial renewal of cosmetologist's license	\$23
Biennial renewal of cosmetology shop manager's or cosmetology teacher's	
license	\$36
Biennial renewal of cosmetology shop's license	
Biennial renewal of cosmetician or manicurist shop's license	
Biennial renewal of cosmetology schools's license	\$66
Approval of cosmetology school supervisor	
Change in cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist shop	
(inspection required)	. \$[35] <u>55</u>
Change in cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist shop	
(no inspection required)	\$15
Reinspection of cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist	
shop or cosmetology school	\$[15] <u>40</u>
[Certification of licensure	
Certification of student or apprentice training hours	
Verification of license, registration, permit or approval	



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-7130

June 21, 2000

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Jr., Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14th Floor, Harristown 2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

Re:

Proposed Rulemaking of the State Board of Cosmetology

Application Fees: 16A-458

Dear Chairman McGinley:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Cosmetology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Commission may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Carol M. Thompson, Chairperson
State Board of Cosmetology

CMT/dn Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel

Department of State

Dorothy Childress, Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel

State Board of Cosmetology

State Board of Cosmetology



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-7130

June 21, 2000

The Honorable Clarence D. Bell, Chairman Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA 20 Main Capitol, East Wing Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re:

Proposed Rulemaking of the State Board of Cosmetology

Application Fees: 16A-458

Dear Senator Bell:

Enclosed are five (5) copies of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Cosmetology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of this rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Carol M. Thompson, Chairperson

State Board of Cosmetology

CMT/dn Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel
Department of State
Dorothy Childress, Commissioner
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel
Department of State
Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel
State Board of Cosmetology
State Board of Cosmetology



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-7130

June 21, 2000

The Honorable Mario J. Civera, Jr., Chairman Professional Licensure Committee PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 315-D Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re:

Proposed Rulemaking of the State Board of Cosmetology

Application Fees: 16A-458

Dear Representative Civera:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Cosmetology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Carol M. Thompson, Chairperson State Board of Cosmetology

CMT/dn Enclosure

Literos

John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel

Department of State

Dorothy Childress, Commissioner

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel

Department of State

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel

State Board of Cosmetology

State Board of Cosmetology



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-7130

June 21, 2000

The Honorable Lisa M. Boscola, Minority Chairperson Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA 183 Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re:

Proposed Rulemaking of the State Board of Cosmetology

Application Fees: 16A-458

Dear Senator Boscola:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Cosmetology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Carol M. Thompson, Chairperson State Board of Cosmetology

CMT/dn Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel
Department of State
Dorothy Childress, Commissioner
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel
Department of State
Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel
State Board of Cosmetology
State Board of Cosmetology



Post Office Box 2649 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649 (717) 783-7130

June 21, 2000

The Honorable William W. Rieger, Minority Chairman Professional Licensure Committee PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 327-C Main Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re: Proposed Rulemaking of the State Board of Cosmetology

Application Fees: 16A-458

Dear Representative Rieger:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Cosmetology pertaining to application fees.

The Board will be pleased to provide whatever information the Committee may require during the course of its review of the rulemaking.

Sincerely,

Carol M. Thompson, Chairperson

State Board of Cosmetology

CMT/dn Enclosure

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel
Department of State
Dorothy Childress, Commissioner
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel
Department of State
Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Deborah B. Eskin, Counsel
State Board of Cosmetology
State Board of Cosmetology

TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE **REGULATORY REVIEW ACT**

RECEIVED

I.D. NUMBER:

16A-458

2000 JUN 21 AM 11: 39

SUBJECT:

Application Fees

REVIEW COMMISSION

AGENCY.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE - STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

		OF REGULATION
X	Proposed Regulation	
	Final Regulation	
	Final Regulation with Notice of Propos	sed Rulemaking Omitted
	120-day Emergency Certification of the	e Attorney General
	120-day Emergency Certification of the	e Governor
	Delivery of Tolled Regulation a With Revisions b Without Revisions	
	FILING	G OF REGULATION
DATI	E SIGNATURE	DESIGNATION
(6-21-	er Jag Sture	HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE
UN :	2 1 2000 James Zuly	SENATE COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER PROTECTION &
		PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE
4/21/0	a Melneth We	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION
MARINE & AND		ATTORNEY GENERAL
(124	la C. Lee	LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE

June 16, 2000

BUREAU