RECEIVED

There are		أدر	This spage for usedby IRRC
(1) Agency	in i sa katalan katalan da ini da Ini da ini d	11.	REVIEW COMMISSION
Department of State, Bureau of Pr	ofossional a	Ø	T.
Occupational Affairs, State Board of			and the second of the second o
(2) I.D. Number (Governor's Office Us	se)		
	,	1	
16A-579			IRRC Number: 2112
(3) Short Title			
Biennial Renewal Fees -			
(4) PA Code Cite	(5) Agency	Contacts & Te	lephone Numbers
49 Pa. Code §31.41	State Second	Board of Veter ary Contact: Je	sa Lazo-Miller, Counsel rinary Medicine (717) 783-7200 byce McKeever
(6) True of Bulamatrina (about and)	Depu		sel (717) 783-7200 ay Emergency Certification
(6) Type of Rulemaking (check one)		Attached?	ay Emergency Cerumcation
X Proposed Rulemaking Final Order Adopting Regulation	i	_X No	
Final Order, Proposed Rulemaking		Yes: By t	he Attorney General
Omitted		Yes: By t	he Governor
(8) Briefly explain the regulation in cle	ear and nonte	chnical languag	ge.
The regulation adjusts the biennic to reflect actual Board costs of provi			rians and animal health technicians et.
(9) State the statutory authority for the	regulation a	nd any relevant	state or federal court decisions.
Section 13 of the Veterinary Med P.S. §485.13.	licine Practi	ice Act, Act of	December 27, 1974, as amended, 63

#### Requesting windows from Days

(10) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation, and any deadlines for action.

Yes. Sections 13(a) and (b) of the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act, 63 P.S. §485.13(a), (b), require that Board fees be fixed by regulation and that fees be increased if not sufficient to meet expenditures.

(11) Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. What is the problem it addresses?

The new fees allow the Board to comply with the legislative mandate of its enabling statute that the Board sustain its operating and enforcement costs through fees imposed on its licensees.

(12) State the public health, safety, environmental or general welfare risks associated with nonregulation.

Non-regulation of veterinarians and animal health technicians increases the risk of substandard veterinary care, which may adversely affect public health and the provision of effective and humane medical services to animals.

(13) Describe who will benefit from the regulation. (Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.)

The general public and regulated practitioners will benefit from fee increases which assure that the Board will have sufficient revenue to carry out its operating and enforcement activities and ensure the Commonwealth will have an adequate supply of competent practitioners.

(14) Describe who will be adversely affected by the regulation. (Quantify the adverse effects as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will be adversely affected.)

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

On a biennial basis approximately 3,249 veterinarians and 753 veterinarian technicians who apply for biennial renewal will pay an additional \$120.00 and \$30.00 respectively. This represents a biennial cost to the regulated community of \$398,880.00 for veterinarians and \$22,590.00 for veterinarian technicians.

(15) List the persons, groups or entities that will be required to comply with the regulation. (Approximate the number of people who will be required to comply.)

Approximately 3,249 veterinarians and 753 veterinarian technicians apply for licenses biennially.

(16) Describe the communications with and input from the public in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the persons and/or groups who were involved, if applicable.

In developing and drafting the regulation, the Board considered the fees as both required by law and the least restrictive means of covering the costs of services required to be performed by the Board.

(17) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the regulated community associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

No legal, accounting or consulting costs are anticipated as a result of the regulation. Biennial renewal fees will total \$389,880.00 for veterinarians and \$22,590.00 for veterinarian technicians.

 strong !	स्या	E110)	AME !	als:	Uilli	-	
	A .4		4.4			_	

(18) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to local governments associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required.

Local governments would not be affected by this regulation.

Service .

(19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to state government associated with the implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required.

Because the Board must charge fees which reflect its actual costs in providing services to licensees and applicants, implementing the regulation will produce no identifiable costs or savings to state government.

### Legithm y someons form

(20) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

<del></del>	Current FY	FY +1	FY +2	FY+3	FY +4	FY +5
SAVINGS:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Regulated						-
Local Government	ļ					+
State Government					<del> </del>	<del></del> -
Total Savings						
COSTS:	0	412,420	0	412,420	0	412,420
Regulated						<del></del>
Local Government		<u> </u>				<del> </del>
State Government						-
Total Costs						
REVENUE LOSSES:						<del>-  </del>
Regulated				<del>                                     </del>		+
Local Government					<del>                                     </del>	
State Government			<u> </u>	<del> </del>		<del> </del> -
Total Revenue Losses				<del></del>		1

(20a) Explain how the cost estimates listed above were derived.

Cost estimates to the regulated community were derived by multiplying the proposed fee increase by the number of licensees. The biennial renewal fee was not paid in the current fiscal year, and therefore the estimates for +2 and +4 years are zero.

Program ate Board of Veterinary \$	FY96-97 FY -3 182,445.32	nditure history for pro FY97-98 FY -2 \$221,044.29	FY98-99 FY -1 \$261,139.28	FY99-00 Current FY \$259,000.00
ate Board of Veterinary sedicine				
edicine	182,445.32	\$221,044.29	\$261,139.28	\$259,000.00
21) Using the cost-b				
atweigh the adverse e		ion provided above,	explain how the ber	nefits of the regula
The regulation pr	ovides for the li	censure population t	o pay for the costs of	Board administra
	-	meet or exceed exper		
				*** ** ** ** ** **
22) Describe the non- Provide the reasons for	•	natives considered and	i the costs associated	with those alternau
Tovide the reasons to	i dien disimssai	•		
•		were considered as		: Veterinary Medi
ractice Act, 63 P.S.	9485.1 <i>3</i> (D), req	uires a regulatory ap	pproacn.	
			,	
23) Describe alterna	tive regulatory	schemes considered a	and the costs associate	ted with those sche
23) Describe alterna		schemes considered a	and the costs associate	ted with those sche
•	or their dismissal		and the costs associate	ted with those sche

			eral standards? If yes, identify the specified nds stronger regulation.
There are no f	federal standards whi	ch apply.	
(25) How does th	is regulation compare v	with those of other sta	tes? Will the regulation put Pennsylvani
	isadvantage with other		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Biennial Renewal Fe	es
:	West Virginia	New Jersey	Ohio
Veterinarian	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$125.00 - \$450.00 (by date activated
Technician:	\$25.00-30.00	not licensed	\$35.00 - \$60.00
i echnician:	~		
		32	
The fees are co	omparable to those in proposed fees should	_	which require the State Board to be sele
The fees are co	proposed fees should	cause no competitive	e disadvantage.
The fees are cosupporting. The	proposed fees should	r proposed regulations	
The fees are cosupporting. The	proposed fees should	r proposed regulations	e disadvantage.
The fees are consupporting. The (26) Will the regular agencies? If yes,	proposed fees should ulation affect existing o explain and provide spe	r proposed regulations	e disadvantage.
The fees are consupporting. The (26) Will the regular agencies? If yes,	proposed fees should ulation affect existing o explain and provide spe	r proposed regulations	e disadvantage.  s of the promulgating agency or other star
The fees are consupporting. The (26) Will the regulation	e proposed fees should  ulation affect existing of explain and provide spectage of the changes Section 31.41  blic hearings or information	r proposed regulations ecific citations.	e disadvantage.  s of the promulgating agency or other star

(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting record bearing an other nenerwork requirements?
(28) Will the regulation change existing reporting, record keeping, or other paperwork requirements? Describe the changes and attach copies of forms or reports which will be required as a result of
implementation, if available.
implementation, it available.
No.
(29) Please list any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected
groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, elderly, small businesses, and farmers.
No special provisions have been developed or anticipated.
(30) What is the anticipated effective date of the regulation; the date by which compliance with the
regulation will be required; and the date by which any required permits, licenses or other approvals must
be obtained?
The regulation will be effective on publication of the final form regulation in the Pennsylvania
Bulletin.
(31) Provide the schedule for continual review of the regulation.
As part of its annual review process pursuant to Executive Order 1996-1, the Board will review
the effectiveness of this regulation.

#### **FEE REPORT FORM**

Agency:

State - BPOA

Date: 09/03/99

Contact:

**David Williams** 

Phone No.

783-7194

#### Fee Title, Rate and Estimated Collections:

Biennial Renewal Fee:

Veterinarian =

\$225.00

Veterinarian Technician =

\$60.00

Estimated Biennial Revenue:

\$776,205.00

(3,249 Veterinarians x \$225.00 and 753 Veterinarian Technicians x \$60.00)

#### **Fee Description:**

The appropriate fee will be charged biennially to every applicant for license renewal.

#### Fee Objective:

The fee should defray a substantial portion of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine's administrative overhead, specifically the difference between the Board's total biennial expenditures and its total biennial revenues from non-renewal sources.

#### Fee-Related Activities and Costs:

Deficit carried forward from 97/99 cycle:	(81,541.82)
FOR BIENNIAL CYCLE 7/1/99-6/30/01	
Estimated non-renewal revenue:	40,000.00
Estimated renewal revenue @ above rates:	776,205.00
Total revenue available:	734,663.18
Estimated expenditures:	560,139.28
Estimated ending balance on 6/30/01:	174,523.90

#### Analysis, Comment, and Recommendation:

It is recommended that fees as noted be established to cover the difference between the Board's total biennial expenditures and its total biennial revenues from non-renewal sources.

## FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

RECEIVED

2009 MAY -2 PH 2: 05

REVIEW COMMISSION

Ø

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

	11/2 x	
Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Attorney General  Yal (DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL)	Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by:	Copy below is approved as to form and legality. Executive or Independent Agencies.
MAR 3 0 2000 DATE OF APPROVAL	DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 16A-579  DATE OF ADOPTION:  BY: BRIAN V. HARPSTER, V.M.D.	DATE OF APPROVAL  (Deputy General Counsel (Chief-Gounsel, Independent Agency (Strike inapplicable title)
[ ] Check if applicable	TITLE: CHAIRMAN (EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY)	
Copy not approved. Objections attached.		[ ] Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 day after submission.

COLON

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE
49 PA. CODE, CHAPTER 31
BIENNIAL RENEWAL FEES

The State Board of Veterinary Medicine (Board) proposes to amend 49 Pa. Code §31.41 (relating to fees) as set forth in Annex A. The proposed regulation would increase the biennial license renewal fee for veterinarians from \$105.00 to \$225.00 and for animal health technicians from \$30.00 to \$60.00.

#### **Effective Date**

The new fees would be effective on final publication in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u> and will apply to the biennial renewal period commencing December 1, 2000.

#### **Statutory Authority**

Section 13(a) of the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act (Act), Act of December 27, 1974, P.L. 995, as amended, 63 P.S. §485.13(a), requires the Board to fix the fees required for renewal of licenses and certificates by regulation. In addition, section 13(b) of the Act, 63 P.S. §485.13(b), requires the Board to increase fees by regulation to meet or exceed projected expenditures if the revenues raised by fees, fines and civil penalties are not sufficient to meet expenditures.

#### **Background and Need for Amendment**

The Board's current biennial license renewal fees were established by regulation in 1995. The Board is required by law to support its operations from revenue it generates from fees, fines and civil penalties. The Veterinary Medicine Practice Act mandates that the Board protect the public by adopting rules and regulations that govern the practice of veterinary medicine and administer and enforce the laws, rules and regulations that relate to the practice of veterinary medicine. In addition, the Board is generally mandated to promote public health, safety and welfare, which is accomplished though Board initiatives and coordination with other agencies and departments in the Commonwealth. The proposed fees are comparable to the renewal fees charged in surrounding states and should cause no competitive disadvantage to the Commonwealth.

The Board raises virtually all its revenue through fees. The biennial license renewal fee is the most substantial revenue generating fee of the fees charged by the Board. If the Board anticipates that its revenue will not meet its expenditures, the Board must increase its revenue.

At its July 15, 1999 Board meeting, the Offices of Revenue and Budget presented a summary of the Board's revenues and expenses for fiscal years 1997-1998 and 1998-1999. The summary,

presented in table format below, demonstrated that the Board must raise fees to meet or exceed projected expenditures to comply with section 13(b) of the Act, 63 P.S. §485.13(b).

1997 beginning balance	(245.09)
FY97-98 revenue	22,392.00
FY97-98 expenses	179,789.44
1998 beginning balance	(157,642.53)
FY98-99 revenue	363,112.00
FY98-99 expenses	287,011.29
1999 beginning balance	(81,541.82)

As the foregoing indicates, at the close of FY 1998-1999, the Board's expenses exceeded its revenues by \$81,541.82. The Budget Office estimates that in 1999-2000 Fiscal Year (FY), the deficit will increase to \$341,681.10 if fees are not increased. The Budget Office anticipates that the proposed new fees will enable the Board to recapture the current deficit and meet its estimated expenditures for the 2000-2002 biennial cycle.

The increases in the Board's biennial expenses occurred primarily in law enforcement costs and legal office costs. Law enforcement (the Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation) saw an increase from 898.75 hours expended in FY 1994-1995 to 1,184.8 hours expended in FY 1998-1999. Corresponding to this increase, law enforcement expenditures increased from approximately \$70,000.00 in FY 1994-1995 to just over \$95,000.00 in FY 1997-1998.

The legal office (division of the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs) spent 1,485.9 hours in FY 1997-1998 on matters related to the Veterinary Board. No figure was available for FY 1994-1995. Legal office expenditures increased from approximately \$37,000.00 in FY 1994-1995 to just under \$61,000.00 in FY 1997-1998.

The legal office saw an increase in both number and complexity of cases closed in calendar years 1996 through 1998. In 1996, the Board issued four adjudications relating to continuing education violations and one in a reciprocal disciplinary action. In 1997, the Board issued one adjudication in a reciprocal disciplinary action and issued two orders in a complicated malpractice case. In 1998, the Board issued one adjudication involving a civil prosecution and two involving unlicensed practice. In 1998, the Board issued six adjudications: one for performing surgery without a license, two for dispensing medication without a license, one for practicing on a lapsed license, one reciprocal disciplinary action and one for gross negligence/malpractice.

#### **Description of Proposed Amendments**

Based upon the above expense and revenue estimates provided to the Board, the Board proposes to amend its fee schedule at 49 Pa. Code §31.41 to increase the fee for biennial renewal of licenses for veterinarians from \$105.00 to \$225.00 and increase the fee for animal health technicians from \$30.00 to \$60.00. By this increase, the Board will recoup the 1999 deficit and offset the projected deficit of \$341,681.10 in FY 1999-2000.

#### Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1

In accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 1996-1 (February 6, 1996), in drafting and promulgating the regulation the Board considered the regulations as both required by law and the least restrictive means of covering the costs of services required to be performed by the Board.

#### **Fiscal Impact**

The proposed amendments will increase the biennial renewal fee for veterinarians and animal health technicians. A veterinarian will pay an additional \$120.00 for biennial renewal. An animal health technician will pay an additional \$30.00 for biennial renewal. The proposed regulation should have no other fiscal impact on the private sector, the general public or political subdivisions.

#### Paperwork Requirements

The proposed regulation will require the Board to alter some of its forms to reflect the new biennial renewal fees; however, the proposed regulations should not create additional paperwork for the private sector.

#### **Sunset Date**

The Act requires that the Board monitors its revenue and cost on a fiscal year and biennial basis. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

#### Regulatory Review

If the Commission has any objections to any portion of the proposed regulation, it will notify the Board within ten days after the expiration of the Committees' review period. Such notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met by that portion. The Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the regulation, by the Board, the General Assembly and the Governor of objections raised.

#### **Public Comment**

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding this proposed rulemaking to Robert Kline, Administrator, State Board of Veterinary Medicine, P.O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-2649, within 30 days following publication of this proposed rulemaking in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u>.

#### Annex A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS
PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Subpart A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
CHAPTER 31. STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE

#### **FEES**

#### §31.41. Schedule of fees.

An applicant for a license, certificate or service shall submit a payment at the time of the request under the following fee schedule:



# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE

LEGAL OFFICE Counsel Division (717) 783-7200 FAX: (717) 787-0251 116 PINE STREET P.O. BOX 2649 HARRISBURG, PA 17105-2649

May 2, 2000

The Honorable John R. McGinley, Chairman INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION 14th Floor, Harristown 2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Regulations of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine Pertaining to Biennial Fees (16A-579)

Dear Chairman McGinley:

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed rulemaking package of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine.

The State Board of Veterinary Medicine, as always, will be pleased to provide your committee with any assistance it may require during the course of its review of this regulation.

Sincerely,

Brian V. Harpster, V.M.D., Chairman State Board of Veterinary Medicine

BVH:TLM:apm:eco

c: John T. Henderson, Jr., Chief Counsel Department of State

> Dorothy Childress, Commissioner Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Joyce McKeever, Deputy Chief Counsel Department of State

Herbert Abramson, Senior Counsel in Charge Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Teresa Lazo-Miller, Counsel State Board of Veterinary Medicine

State Board of Veterinary Medicine

## TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

RECEIVED

I.D. NUMBER	R: 16A-579	nnn Mav _ 2	DM 2: 05			
SUBJECT:	State Board of Veterinary Medicine - Biennial Renewal F	2000 MAY -2 ees	THULATORY			
AGENCY:	DEPARTMENT OF STATE	REVIEW COP	mission Ø			
	TYPE OF REGULATION					
X	Proposed Regulation					
i	Final Regulation					
	Final Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted					
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Attorney General					
	120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor					
Delivery of Tolled Regulation a. With Revisions b. Without Revisions						
FILING OF REGULATION						
DATE	SIGNATURE DESIGNATION					
5-200 5	KOU Q ( Varkhouse committee on proj	FESSIONAL LICI	ENSURE			
<b>5/2/0</b>	SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMPROFESSIONAL LICEN		CTION &			
5-20-00 Ja	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY	REVIEW COM	MISSION			
	ATTORNEY GENERAL					
5/2/00 M	LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BU	JREAU				
•						